


1 HOWARD HOLDERNESS (SBN 169814)
 2 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
 3 One Market, Spear Street Tower
 4 San Francisco, CA 94105
 5 Telephone: 415.442.1000
 6 Facsimile: 415.442.1001
 7 E-mail: hholderness@morganlewis.com

8 ROBERT A. PARTICELLI (PAB 82651) (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 9 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
 10 1701 Market Street
 11 Philadelphia, PA 19103
 12 Telephone: 215.963.5000
 13 Facsimile: 215.963.5001
 14 E-mail: rparticelli@morganlewis.com

15 Attorneys for Defendant
 16 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY



17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

<p>19 INICOM NETWORKS, INC., Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,, Plaintiff, vs. NVIDIA CORP., et al., Defendants.</p>	<p>Case No. 08-cv-04332-JW STIPULATION IN SUPPORT OF HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED</p>
<p>20 This Document Relates To: 21 <i>Waidzunas, et al. v. Hewlett-Packard, Co.,</i> 22 Case No. 08-cv-05081-HRL</p>	

23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28 **STIPULATION IN SUPPORT OF HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION
 TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED (08-cv-04332-JW)**

1 WHEREAS, several cases are currently pending in the United States District Court for the
2 Northern District of California that concern substantially the same parties, underlying facts and
3 allegations as the above-captioned matter;

4 WHEREAS, at least seven (7) of these other federal cases have been marked related to
5 one another pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12(b), including the above-captioned matter by Order
6 dated December 30, 2008 (*See* Docket No. 44);

7 WHEREAS, another case, *Waidzunas, et al. v. Hewlett-Packard Co.*, 08-cv-05081-HRL
8 (“*Waidzunas*”), was filed after the above-captioned matter and also concerns substantially the
9 same parties, underlying facts and allegations as the above-captioned matter;

10 WHEREAS, if not likewise deemed related and reassigned to the Judge presiding over
11 this and the other already-related cases, *Waidzunas* will likely create unduly burdensome and
12 duplicative labor and expense and/or conflicting results;

13 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the *Waidzunas* Plaintiffs
14 and HP, through their designated counsel of record, that *Waidzunas v. Hewlett-Packard Co.*, 08-
15 cv-05081-HRL, should be marked related to the above-captioned matter pursuant to Civil Local
16 Rule 3.12(b).
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: January 9, 2009

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

/s/ Robert A. Particelli
ROBERT A. PARTICELLI, ESQUIRE

Attorneys for Defendant
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

Dated: January 9, 2009

SEEGER WEISS LLP


/s/ Jonathan Shub
JONATHAN SHUB, ESQUIRE

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
LANCE WAIDZUNAS, BRENT WEST,
MICHAEL MANN, TYLER BURGERT,
CHI LOK LEUNG, AND BRIAN ROBINSON

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:

This order also terminated Docket Item No. 45.in C 08-04332 JW

DATE: January 16, 2009


THE HONORABLE JAMES WARE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE