

\*E-Filed 1/13/2011\*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
9 SAN JOSE DIVISION

10  
11 MARIO YEPEZ, et al.,

No. C 08-04411 RS

12 Plaintiff,

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

13 v.

14  
15 JASPER SEA PALACE, INC., et al.,

16 Defendants.

17 \_\_\_\_\_/

18 On January 29, 2010, an order issued permitting counsel for defendant Tamson Company,  
19 LLC to withdraw. The order advised Tamson that it could not appear in this action except through  
20 counsel, and therefore it was to make arrangements to obtain new counsel promptly. No counsel  
21 has since appeared on behalf of Tamson. Accordingly, no later than February 18, 2011, Tamson  
22 shall show cause why its answer herein should not be stricken. If Tamson's answer is stricken,  
23 plaintiff will then be permitted to apply for entry of default judgment and default judgment against  
24 it.

25 Although Tamson may not appear except through counsel, for the limited purpose of  
26 responding to this order to show cause, Tamson may submit the declaration of an authorized  
27 representative setting forth any efforts it has made to obtain counsel, and any reasons it may have  
28

1 that its answer should not be stricken. In the event that counsel for Tamson enters an appearance on  
2 or before February 18, 2011, this order to show cause will automatically be discharged.

3 Pursuant to the order permitting prior counsel to withdraw, service of this order on prior  
4 counsel shall constitute service on Tamson, and prior counsel shall make all reasonable efforts to  
5 ensure that Tamson receives actual notice of this order as promptly as possible.

6  
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8  
9 Dated: 1/13/2011



10 RICHARD SEEBORG  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28