1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 NOT FOR CITATION 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 RONNIE S. MARTIN, No. C 08-4459 JF (PR) 13 Plaintiff, ORDER OF DISMISSAL 14 VS. 15 KENNETH PONDER, et al., 16 Defendants. 17 (Docket No. 5) 18 Plaintiff, a California prisoner proceeding pro se, filed the instant civil rights 19 action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On November 25, 2008, the Court dismissed the 20 complaint with leave to amend so that Plaintiff may attempt to allege facts showing why 21 his claims are not time-barred. (See Docket No. 4.) 22 On December 8, 2008, Plaintiff notified the Court that he would like to dismiss the 23 case, which the Court construed as a motion for voluntary dismissal. However, in the 24 25 same document Plaintiff requested the Court to send notification to the Prison's Trust 26 Office "instructing them to remove the filing fee order on 11/20/2008." (See Docket No. 27 5.) In an Order filed December 17, 2008, the Court advised Plaintiff that voluntary 28 dismissal of this action does not waive the filing fee. (See Docket No. 6.) The Court Order of Dismissal 1 P:\PRO-SE\SJ.JF\CR.08\Martin4459 dism.wpd

Doc. 7

Dockets.Justia.com

Martin v. Ponder et al

reminded Plaintiff that pursuant to the Court's November 25, 2008 order, Plaintiff had until December 25, 2008 to file an amended complaint. The Court advised Plaintiff that if he failed to file an amended complaint in the time provided, the Court would dismiss this action without prejudice. The deadline has long since passed, and Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint. Accordingly, this action is DISMISSED without prejudice. Plaintiff's motion to dismiss (Docket No. 5) is DENIED as moot. IT IS SO ORDERED. 4/20/09 DATED: United States District Judge