Pedraza et al v. Borg Doc. 42

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Clear Form

MARTIN PEDRAZA, VALENTIN PEDRAZA and FELIPE SALINAS Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C08-04710 HRL
V. SELEX, INC., REUBEN BORG dba BORG REDWOOD FENCES, et al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:	
The parties agree to participate in the	following ADR process:
Court Processes:  Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)  ✓ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)  Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)	
Private Process:	lentify process and provider)
Tilvate ADR (pieuse iu	entify process and provider)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:  the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)	
other requested deadlin	e
Dated: 8/11/09	/s/ /Adam Pedersen Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 8/11/09	/s/ Scott A. Lewis Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

## XPARACXPOSXEXOX ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

✓ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 11, 2009

HOWARD R. LLOYD

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE