

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11 SAN JOSE DIVISION

12 THE BRIX GROUP, INC.,)

13 Plaintiff,)

14 v.)

15 COASTAL WIRELESS, LLC, et al.,)

16 Defendants.)
17

Case No.: C 08-04713 PVT

**ORDER SETTING DEADLINE FOR PARTIES
TO FILE EITHER A “CONSENT TO
PROCEED BEFORE A UNITED STATES
MAGISTRATE JUDGE,” OR ELSE A
“DECLINATION TO PROCEED BEFORE A
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND
REQUEST FOR REASSIGNMENT”**

18 The above-captioned action is scheduled for a case management conference. The Joint Case
19 Management Conference Statement states that “[p]laintiff has not yet consented to have all further
20 proceedings conducted by a magistrate judge.” This case has been assigned to a Magistrate Judge.
21 Before the court takes any further action, the court must determine whether or not all of the parties
22 who have appeared consent to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction, or whether any of the parties request
23 reassignment to a District Judge. Therefore,

24 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that no later than September 4, 2009, each party who has not
25 already done so shall file either a “Consent to Proceed Before a United States Magistrate Judge,” or
26 else a “Declination to Proceed Before a United States Magistrate Judge and Request for
27 Reassignment.” Both forms are available from the clerk of the court, or from the Forms (Civil)
28

1 section of the court's website at www.cand.uscourts.gov.

2 Dated: August 21, 2009



PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL
United States Magistrate Judge

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28