Greenlaw v. Adams et al Doc. 92

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1		
2		
3		
4		E-FILED on <u>6/4/10</u>
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11		
12	ROSEMARY GREENLAW	No. C-08-04782 - RMW
13	Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT
14	v.	
15	TOWER ADAMS and DAVID GAGE,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	On June 4, 2010, the court issued an order	dismissing this action without prejudice for lack
19	of subject matter jurisdiction. Therefore, it is hereby adjudged that plaintiff takes nothing by way of	
20	her complaint and that judgment be entered in favor of defendants.	
21		
22		
23		0 -
24	DATED: <u>6/4/10</u>	Romald M. Whyte
25		RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge
26		
27 28		
20		
	JUDGMENT—No. C-08-04782 - RMW	

1	Notice of this document has been sent to:		
2	Plaintiff:		
3	Rosemary Greenlaw 825 Villa Avenue		
4	San Jose, CA 95126-2461		
5	Counsel for Defendants:		
6 7	Edward F. Cullen ecullen@wpclaw.com Richard Bradford Wilbur rwilbur@wpclaw.com Julie Hope Rome-Banks julie@bindermalter.com		
8	June Hope Rome-Banks June wondermatter.com		
9	Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to so counsel that have not		
10	registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.		
11			
12	D. 4. 1. (4/10)		
13	Dated: 6/4/10 CCL Chambers of Judge Whyte		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	JUDGMENT—No. C-08-04782 - RMW CCL 2		