26

27

28

E-Filed 02/16/2010 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION 10 11 12 UNIDAD DE FE Y AMOR No. C 08-04910 RS 13 CORPORATION, 14 ORDER DENYING MOTION TO Plaintiff, **SHORTEN TIME** 15 v. 16 IGLESIA JESUCRISTO ES MI REFUGIO, 17 INC; et al., 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 On February 2, 2010, the Court entered an order directing that no later than February 22, 22 2010, either (1) in compliance with the terms of their settlement agreement, the parties were to 23 submit a stipulation for dismissal of this action, or(2) if no such stipulation were reached, then 24 plaintiff was to file an appropriate motion to enforce the settlement agreement. The order further 25

directed the parties to appear for an OSC hearing, on February 25, 2010 if neither a dismissal nor a motion to enforce the settlement agreement were filed by the deadline.

On February 12, 2010, plaintiff filed its motion to enforce the settlement agreement, thereby discharging its responsibilities under the February 2nd order, and relieving the parties of any

> No. C 08-04910 RS **ORDER**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

obligation to appear for an OSC hearing on February 25th. Plaintiff, however, has also requested an order shortening time, such that its motion to enforce the settlement agreement can be heard on February 25th, at the time previously scheduled for the OSC.

The motion to shorten time is denied. The motion to enforce the settlement agreement seeks to compel defendants to comply with certain alleged obligations to provide various documents in connection with giving a security interest, and to provide a fully-executed copy of the settlement agreement, all of which plaintiff contends was supposed to have been done in October of 2009. Plaintiff has made no showing of exigent circumstances to support hearing the matter on shortened time, and specifically has not explained why a matter that has been pending for some four months has now suddenly become urgent.¹

Plaintiff's motion to enforce the settlement agreement will be set for hearing on March 25, 2010, at at 1:30 p.m., in Courtroom 3, Seventeenth Floor, of the Court, located at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA. Opposition and reply papers, if any, shall be due at the times specified in Civil Local Rule 7.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 02/16/2010

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

No. C 08-04910 RS

ORDER

Nothing in this order is intended to condone any unexcused failure by defendants to comply with obligations they may have had under any enforceable settlement agreement. If plaintiff's recitation of the facts and its understanding of the terms of the settlement agreement are correct, defendants should fulfill their obligations forthwith, such that further Court involvement will be unnecessary.