. .

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Facsimile: (858) 551-1232 UNITED EMPLOYEES LAW GROUP Walter Haines (State Bar #71705) 65 Pine Ave, #312	WMIK	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10			
11		ES DISTRICT COURT	
12		RICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13			
14			
15 16	DAVID WALSH, an individual, DAVID KALUA, an individual, on behalf of themselves, and on behalf of all persons	CASE No. 05:08-cv-04918-JF	
17	similarly situated,	ADR CERTIFICATION BY PLAINTIFFS AND THEIR COUNSEL	
18	Plaintiffs,		
19	vs.	Judge: Hon. Fogel	
20	APPLE, INC.		
21	Dafan dauta		
22	Defendants.		
23			
24 05			
25			
26 27			
27 28			
20	PROOF	T F OF SERVICE	

.

8 options. 9 Dated: April 27, 2009 10 Dated: April 27, 2009 11 David Walsh, Plaintiff 12 Dated: April _, 2009 13 Dated: April _, 2009 14 David Kalua, Plaintiff 15 Dated: April 30, 2009 16		
2 he has: 3 (1) Read the handbook entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of 4 California" on the Court's ADR Internet site www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov; 5 (2) Discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by the Court and private 6 entities; and, 7 (3) Considered whether their case might benefit from any of the available dispute resolution 8 options. 9 Dated: April_27, 2009 10 Dated: April_27, 2009 11 Dated: April_2, 2009 12 Dated: April_2, 2009 13 Dated: April_2, 2009 14 Dated: April_50, 2009 15 Dated: April_50, 2009 16 Image: Solution option optioption option optioption option optioptiopti		
2 he has: 3 (1) Read the handbook entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of 4 California" on the Court's ADR Internet site www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov; 5 (2) Discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by the Court and private 6 entities; and, 7 (3) Considered whether their case might benefit from any of the available dispute resolution 8 options. 9 Dated: April_27, 2009 10 Dated: April_27, 2009 11 Dated: April_2, 2009 12 Dated: April_2, 2009 13 Dated: April_2, 2009 14 Dated: April_50, 2009 15 Dated: April_50, 2009 16 Image: Solution option optioption option optioption option optioptiopti	1	Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8(b) and ADR L.R. 3.5(b), each of the undersigned certifies that
4 California" on the Court's ADR Internet site www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov; 5 (2) Discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by the Court and private 6 entities; and, 7 (3) Considered whether their case might benefit from any of the available dispute resolution 8 options. 9 Image: Construct of the court of the available dispute resolution 10 Dated: April_7, 2009 Image: Construct of the court of the	2	
4 California" on the Court's ADR Internet site www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov; 5 (2) Discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by the Court and private 6 entities; and, 7 (3) Considered whether their case might benefit from any of the available dispute resolution 8 options. 9 Image: Construct of the court of the available dispute resolution 10 Dated: April_7, 2009 Image: Construct of the court of the	3	(1) Read the handbook entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of
6 entities; and, 7 (3) Considered whether their case might benefit from any of the available dispute resolution 8 options. 9 Dated: April_27, 2009 10 Dated: April_27, 2009 11 Dated: April_2009 12 Dated: April_2009 13 Dated: April_2009 14 Dated: April_50, 2009 15 Dated: April_50, 2009 16 <i>Mathematical Models</i> 17 <i>Mathematical Models</i> 18 <i>Mathematical Models</i> 19 <i>Mathematical Models</i> 20 <i>Mathematical Models</i> 21 <i>Mathematical Models</i> 22 <i>Mathematical Models</i> 23 <i>Mathematical Models</i> 24 <i>Mathematical Models</i> 25 <i>Mathematical Models</i> 26 <i>Mathematical Models</i>	4	
7 (3) Considered whether their case might benefit from any of the available dispute resolution options. 9 options. 10 Dated: April_27, 2009 11 Dated: April_7, 2009 12 Dated: April_, 2009 13 Dated: April_, 2009 14 Dated: April_, 2009 15 Dated: April_50, 2009 16 <i>Strike Nordrehaug</i> 17 <i>Strike Nordrehaug</i> 18 Attorney for Plaintiffs 19 Attorney for Plaintiffs 10 Attorney for Plaintiffs 12 20 13 Attorney for Plaintiffs 14 20 21 21 22 23 23 24 24 25 25 26 26 2	5	(2) Discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by the Court and private
options. a options. Dated: April_27, 2009	6	entities; and,
9 Dated: April_27, 2009 David Walsh, Plaintiff 11 Dated: April, 2009 David Kalua, Plaintiff 12 Dated: April_, 2009 David Kalua, Plaintiff 13 Dated: April_, 2009 David Kalua, Plaintiff 14 Dated: April_, 2009 David Kalua, Plaintiff 15 Dated: April_, 2009 BLUMENTHAL, NORDREHAUG & BHOWMIK 16 // Kvie Nordrehaug 17 Kyle Nordrehaug 18 Attorney for Plaintiffs 19 20 21 23 22 24 23 2 24 2 25 2 26 2	7	(3) Considered whether their case might benefit from any of the available dispute resolution
10 Dated: April 27, 2009	8	options.
11 11 12 Dated: April, 2009 13 Dated: April, 2009 14 Dated: April, 2009 15 Dated: April, 2009 16	9	\cap
11 11 12 Dated: April, 2009 13 Dated: April, 2009 14 Dated: April, 2009 15 Dated: April, 2009 16	10	Dated: April 27, 2009 David Walsh Plaintiff
Dated: April, 2009	11	
13 David Kalua, Plaintiff 14 Dated: April <u>50</u> , 2009 BLUMENTHAL, NORDREHAUG & BHOWMIK 16 <u>/s/ Kyle Nordrehaug</u> 17 Kyle Nordrehaug 18 Attorney for Plaintiffs 19 Attorney for Plaintiffs 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 2		Dated: April, 2009
15 Dated: April <u>50</u> , 2009 BLUMENTHAL, NORDREHAUG & BHOWMIK 16		David Kalua, Plaintiff
16 /s/ Kyle Nordrehaug 17 Kyle Nordrehaug 18 Attorney for Plaintiffs 19 20 20 1 21 2 23 2 24 25 26 2 27 2 28 2		
17 /s/ Kyle Nordrehaug 18 Kyle Nordrehaug 19 Attorney for Plaintiffs 20 1 21 2 23 2 24 2 25 2 26 2 27 2 28 2		Dated: April <u>30</u> , 2009 BLUMENTHAL, NORDREHAUG & BHOWMIK
18 Attorney for Plaintiffs 19		
$ \begin{array}{c} 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ 26\\ 27\\ 28\\ $		Kyle Nordrehaug Attorney for Plaintiffs
$ \begin{array}{c} 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ 26\\ 27\\ 28\\ \end{array} $		
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		
22 23 24 25 26 27 28		
23 24 25 26 27 28		
24 25 26 27 282		
26 27 28 2		
27 282	25	
282	26	
	27	
PROOF OF SERVICE	28	
		PROOF OF SERVICE Case No. 05:08-cv-04918-JF

	Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8(b) and ADR L.R. 3.5(b), each of the undersigned certifies that
2	he has:
3	(1) Read the handbook entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of
4	California" on the Court's ADR Internet site www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov;
5	(2) Discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by the Court and private
6	entities; and,
7	(3) Considered whether their case might benefit from any of the available dispute resolution
8	options.
9 10	
10 11	Dated: April, 2009 David Walsh, Plaintiff
11	100000
12	Dated: April 2009
13	David Kalua, Plaintiff
15	Dated: April, 2009 BLUMENTHAL, NORDREHAUG & BHOWMIK
15	DECIVIEN I FIAL, NORDREHAOU & DROWMIN
10	<u>/s/ Kyle Nordrehaug</u> Kyle Nordrehaug
18	Attorney for Plaintiffs
19	
20	A
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2
	PROOF OF SERVICE Case No. 05:08-cv-04918-JF
·fi	

1		
2	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE [F.R.C.P. §5]	
3	I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of California. I am employed	
4	in the County of San Diego, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the	
5	within action. My business address is 2255 Calle Clara, La Jolla, California 92037. On May 5,	
6	2009, I served the document(s) described as below in the manner set forth below:	
7	(1) ADR CERTIFICATION BY PLAINTIFFS AND THEIR COUNSEL	
8	_XX_ (BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE): I caused the listed documents to be electronically filed through the CM/ECF system at the United States District Court for the Southern District of	
9	California which generates a Notice of Electronic Filing to all parties and constitutes service of the electronically filed documents on all parties for purposes of the Federal Rules of Civil	
10	Procedure.	
11	_XX_ (Federal): I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at	
12	whose direction the service was made, and that the foregoing is true and correct under penalty of perjury.	
13		
14	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing	
15	is true and correct. Executed on May 5, 2009 at San Diego, California.	
16		
17	By: <u>/s/ Kyle Nordrehaug</u> Kyle R. Nordrehaug	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	K:\D\NBB\Walsh v. Apple\p-ADR - certification.wpd	
24		
25		
23 26		
27 28	2	
28	PROOF OF SERVICE	
	Case No. 05:08-cv-04918-JF	