1	MEISELMAN, DENLEA, PACKMAN, CARTON & EBERZ P.C.	**E-Filed 2/2/09**	
2	Jeffrey I. Carton (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Jerome Noll (<i>pro hac vice</i>) 1311 Mamaroneck Avenue		
3	White Plains, New York 10605		
4	(914) 517-5000		
5	CALDWELL LESLIE & PROCTOR, PC		
7	CALDWELL LESLIE & PROCTOR, PC ROBYN C. CROWTHER, SBN 193840 Crowther@caldwell-leslie.com ALBERT GIANG, SBN 224332		
8	1000 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 600		
9	Los Angeles, California 90017-2463 Telephone: (213) 629-9040		
10	Facsimile: (213) 629-9022		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs GIORGIO GOMELSKY		
12	and all others similarly situated		
13	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
14	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	GIORGIO GOMELSKY, On behalf of himself and	Case No. C-08-04969 JF	
16	all others similarly situated	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED	
17	Plaintiffs,	ORDER	
18	V.		
19			
20	APPLE INC.,		
21	Defendants.		
22	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15 and Civil Local Rules 7-7(a)(1) and		
23	7-12, the parties, by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:		
24	WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed the complaint in the above-captioned matter on October		
25 26	30, 2008;		
20	WHEREAS, Defendant filed its Motion to Dismiss and to Strike (the "Motion") on		
28	December 22, 2009;		
CALDWELL LESLIE &	1-		
PROCTOR		STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER Case No. C-08—4969 JF	
		Dockets.Just	

1	WHEREAS, the Motion is currently noticed for hearing on March 6, 2009, and no
2	opposition to the Motion has been filed;

WHEREAS, the Plaintiff has given careful consideration to the arguments raised in
the Motion and has determined that filing an amended complaint would conserve judicial
resources as well as the resources of the parties;

6 WHEREAS, there have been no previous amendments to the complaint and no
7 responsive pleading has been served;

8 WHEREAS, the Plaintiff has advised Defendant of his intention to file an amended 9 complaint narrowing the claims asserted and substituting and/or adding named plaintiffs;

WHEREAS, Defendant has agreed that, in light of the foregoing, the hearing on the
 Motion should be continued until after Defendant has had the opportunity to evaluate the
 amended complaint;

13

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED:

14
1. Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint within thirty (30) days of the date of
15
15
16
17
17
18
19
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10

16

2.

The March 6, 2009 hearing date on the Motion shall be adjourned; and

3. Within thirty (30) days following the filing of the amended complaint,
Defendant will advise the Court whether it intends to move to dismiss the amended
complaint, or to answer the amended complaint in which case the Motion will be
withdrawn.

21 Dated: January 29, 2009 Caldwell Leslie & Proctor, PC 22 By: /s/ Robyn C. Crowther 23 Robyn C. Crowther Attorneys for Plaintiff 24 25 Dated: January 29, 2009 Morrison & Foerster LLP 26 /s/ Andrew D. Muhlbach By: 27 Andrew D. Muhlbach Attorneys for Defendant 28 CALDWELL LESLIE & -2-PROCTOR

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 <i>Filer's Attestation</i>: Pursuant to General Order No. 45.1, I, Robyn C. Crowther attest that I obtained concurrence in the filing of this document from the other signatories. PROPOSED ORDER PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January <u>30</u>, 2009 The Honorable eremy Fogel United States District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
17	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 CALDWELL LESLIE & PROCTOR	-3- STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER