2	MEISELMAN, DENLEA, PACKMAN, CARTON & EBERZ P.C. Jeffrey I. Carton (pro hac vice) Jerome Noll (pro hac vice) Christine M. Ford (pro hac vice) 1311 Mamaroneck Avenue White Plains, New York 10605 (914) 517-5000	
	CALDWELL LESLIE & PROCTOR, PC ROBYN C. CROWTHER, SBN 193840 Crowther@caldwell-leslie.com ALBERT GIANG, SBN 224332 Giang@caldwell-leslie.com 1000 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 600 Los Angeles, California 90017-2463 Telephone: (213) 629-9040 Facsimile: (213) 629-9022	
11 12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and all others similarly situated	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15 16	REUBEN BERENBLAT, ANDREW PERSONETTE, EARL C. SIMPSON, LAURA MILLER, On behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	Case No. C-08-04969 JF
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
17	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REQUESTING
17	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REQUESTING CONTINUANCE OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
	Plaintiffs, v.	ORDER REQUESTING CONTINUANCE OF CASE
18		ORDER REQUESTING CONTINUANCE OF CASE
18 19	V.	ORDER REQUESTING CONTINUANCE OF CASE
18 19 20	v. APPLE INC.,	ORDER REQUESTING CONTINUANCE OF CASE
18 19 20 21 22 23	v. APPLE INC., Defendants.	ORDER REQUESTING CONTINUANCE OF CASE
18 19 20 21 22	v. APPLE INC., Defendants.	ORDER REQUESTING CONTINUANCE OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE 16-2(e), the parties, by and through their
18 19 20 21 22 23	v. APPLE INC., Defendants. Pursuant to Local Rules 6-2, 7-12 and	ORDER REQUESTING CONTINUANCE OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE 16-2(e), the parties, by and through their est as follows:
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	V. APPLE INC., Defendants. Pursuant to Local Rules 6-2, 7-12 and respective counsel, hereby stipulate and requirements. WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed a First Armanian street.	ORDER REQUESTING CONTINUANCE OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE 16-2(e), the parties, by and through their est as follows:
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	V. APPLE INC., Defendants. Pursuant to Local Rules 6-2, 7-12 and respective counsel, hereby stipulate and requirements whereas, Plaintiffs filed a First Arm WHEREAS, Defendant filed its Motion	ORDER REQUESTING CONTINUANCE OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE 16-2(e), the parties, by and through their est as follows: nended Complaint on April 2, 2009;

Gomelsky v. Apple, Inc.

Doc. 45

28
CALDWELL
LESLIE &
PROCTOR