

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

Mformation Techs., Inc.,

NO. C 08-04990 JW

Plaintiff,

**ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION;  
TAKING MOTIONS UNDER  
SUBMISSION; SETTING TIME FOR  
ORAL ARGUMENT ON CLAIM  
CONSTRUCTION**

v.

Research in Motion Ltd., et al.,

Defendants.

---

Presently before the Court is the parties' Stipulation. (Docket Item No. 759.) In their Stipulation, the parties request the Court's permission: (1) to bring "certain technical equipment, including a projector, screen, document camera, speaker, easel, monitors, laptops, and related equipment" into court for the March 12 hearing in this case; and (2) to allow certain technicians "to setup [sic] and breakdown [sic] this technical equipment." (*Id.*)

Upon review, the Court finds good cause to permit the parties to bring technical equipment to the March 12 hearing and to allow their technicians to set up and break down this equipment. Accordingly, the Court GRANTS the parties' Stipulation.

Further, upon review of the pending motions in this case, the Court finds it appropriate to take the following motions under submission without oral argument:<sup>1</sup>


---

<sup>1</sup> See Civ. L.R. 7-1(b).

- 1 (1) Research in Motion Ltd.'s and Research in Motion Corp.'s Notice of Motion and  
2 Motion Under Daubert [sic] to Exclude the Opinions of Mr. Roy Weinstein, Docket  
3 Item No. 416;
- 4 (2) Plaintiff Mformation Technologies, Inc.'s Motion to Exclude Portions of the March  
5 4, 2011 Expert Report of Defendants' Expert, Dr. Anthony Acampora, Docket Item  
6 No. 419;
- 7 (3) RIM's Notice of Motion and Motion to Bifurcate Trial Pursuant to Federal Rule of  
8 Civil Procedure 42(B) [sic], Docket Item No. 697;
- 9 (4) RIM's Notice of Motion and Motion Under *Daubert* to Exclude Dr. Vijay Madiseti's  
10 Opinions on Secondary Considerations of Non-Obviousness, Docket Item No. 708;  
11 and
- 12 (5) Research in Motion Limited's and Research in Motion Corporation's Renewed  
13 Motion and Memorandum for Sanctions, Docket Item No. 747.

14 Thus, the only matter remaining for oral argument at the March 12 hearing is the claim  
15 construction of the term "establishing a connection between the wireless device and the server."<sup>2</sup>  
16 Each side shall have twenty (20) minutes for oral argument as to its proposed construction of that  
17 term.

18  
19 Dated: March 8, 2012

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JAMES WARE  
United States District Chief Judge

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 <sup>2</sup> (See Preliminary Pretrial Conference Scheduling Order at 1-2, Docket Item No. 700.)

1 **THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:**

- 2 Aaron D. Charfoos acharfoos@kirkland.com
- 3 Allen A. Arntsen arntsen@foley.com
- 4 Amardeep Lal Thakur athakur@foley.com
- 5 Christopher R. Liro christopher.liro@kirkland.com
- 6 Eugene Goryunov egoryunov@kirkland.com
- 7 Jessica Christine Kaiser jessica.kaiser@kirkland.com
- 8 Justin E. Gray jgray@foley.com
- 9 Linda S. DeBruin ldebruin@kirkland.com
- 10 Lisa Marie Noller lnoller@foley.com
- 11 Marc Howard Cohen marc.cohen@kirkland.com
- 12 Maria A. Maras maria.maras@kirkland.com
- 13 Meredith Zinanni meredith.zinanni@kirkland.com
- 14 Michael Anthony Parks mparks@thompsoncoburn.com
- 15 Michael Daley Karson michael.karson@kirkland.com
- 16 Michael S Feldberg michael.feldberg@allenoverly.com
- 17 Shawn Edward McDonald SEMcDonald@foley.com
- 18 Tiffany Patrice Cunningham tiffany.cunningham@kirkland.com

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**Dated: March 8, 2012**

**Richard W. Wieking, Clerk**

**By:           /s/ JW Chambers**  
**Susan Imbriani**  
**Courtroom Deputy**