1								
2								
3								
4								
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
7	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION							
8	Mformation Techs., Inc., NO. C 08-04990 JW							
9	Plaintiff,ORDER REQUIRING JOINTv.PRODUCTION OF PRETRIALMATERIAL S							
10	MATERIALS Research in Motion Ltd., et al.,							
11 12	Defendants.							
12								
13	This case is scheduled for a Final Pretrial Conference on June 11, 2012, with jury selection							
15	set to take place on June 14, 2012. In order to prepare for the upcoming trial, the Court ORDERS							
16	the following:							
17	(1) On or before June 11, 2012 , the parties shall meet and confer and produce a joint							
18	timeline ¹ showing the undisputed facts in this case.							
19	(2) On or before June 11, 2012 , the parties shall meet and confer and produce a joint							
20	chart showing the remaining claims and defenses to be tried. The entries on the chart							
21	shall each include citations to the docket. Below is an example of the type of chart							
22	required:							
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28	¹ The timeline shall depict the chronology along a horizontal axis.							

	Patent	Stipulated	Accused	Damages ⁴	Defense Asserted ⁵	Factual			
	Claim/Elements	Construction/	Product ² as			Basis for			
		Court	to Each			Defense ⁶			
		Construction	Defendant ³						
	'000 Patent, Claim 1								
	an apparatus	apparatus means: "a	Riverside						
	comprising	device which"	Model 2						
	1. a handle	"handle" means a	Riverside		the product lacks a				
		part held by the	Model 2		handle				
		human hand							
	In addition, u	pon review of the ple	adings, it appe	ears that De	fendants have assert	ed			
counterclaims that have never been subject to any motion practice. Insofar as the parties believe tha									
any counterclaims remain to be tried, the parties shall indicate such counterclaims. Otherwise,									
Defendants shall confirm that no counterclaims remain to be tried.									
² As to each essential limitation of the claims remaining for trial, Plaintiff shall identify th component of the accused product that allegedly infringes each limitation. Further, as to each limitation and each component, Plaintiff shall identify whether the alleged infringement is direct of indirect, and if indirect, whether it is inducing or contributing.									
					identify the o each				
					t is direct or				
 ³ As to each Defendant, Plaintiff shall identify whether it alleges that the Defendant willfull infringed. ⁴ Plaintiff shall indicate, as to each Defendant, whether the damages alleged are based on reasonable royalties, lost profits, or both. 									
					⁵ Defendants shall identify each ground upon which they contend that the patent is in				
						Insofar as Defendants contend that the patent is invalid on the basis of anticipation, Def identify each prior art reference pertinent to that claim. Further, insofar as Defendants		contend tha	
	the patent is invalid on the basis of obviousness, Defendants shall identify each reference pertinent to that claim.								
	⁶ As to any allegation that the accused product does not infringe an essential limitation of the								
	⁶ As to any al	llegation that the acc	used product d	loes not infi	ringe an essential lin	nitation of t			
	⁶ As to any al claim, Defendants sha product does not infri	all identify the limita							

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

The parties shall lodge the original and bring five (5) copies of the requested materials to the June 11 Conference. Dated: June 6, 2012 JAMES

ames Whal WARE

United States District Chief Judge

1	THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES	OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:						
2	Allen A. Arntsen aarntsen@foley.com							
3	Amardeep Lal Thakur athakur@foley.com Christopher R. Liro christopher.liro@kirkl	l.com						
4	Eugene Goryunov egoryunov@kirkland.co Jessica Christine Kaiser jessica.kaiser@kir	om kland.com						
5	5 Justin E. Gray jegray@foley.com Linda S. DeBruin Idebruin@kirkland.com							
6	6 Lisa Marie Noller Inoller@foley.com Marc Howard Cohen marc.cohen@kirkland.com							
7	Maria A. Maras maria.maras@kirkland.com Meredith Zinanni meredith.zinanni@kirkla	and.com						
8	Michael Anthony Parks mparks@thompso Michael Daley Karson michael.karson@ki	rkland.com						
9	Michael S Feldberg michael.feldberg@alle Shawn Edward McDonald SEMcDonald@	foley.com						
	10 Tiffany Patrice Cunningham tiffany.cunningham@kirkland.com							
11	Dated: June 6, 2012	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk						
12 13		B y, /s/ IW Chambors						
13 14		By: <u>/s/ JW Chambers</u> William Noble Courtroom Deputy						
14		Courtroom Deputy						
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								