

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**\*\* E-filed June 23, 2010 \*\***

NOT FOR CITATION  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

MULTIVEN, INC., a Delaware corporation  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., a California  
corporation,  
Defendant.

No. C08-05391 JW (HRL)

**ORDER GRANTING CISCO'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION  
PURSUANT TO L.R. 7-11 AND 79-5  
TO SEAL DOCUMENTS  
DESIGNATED CONFIDENTIAL BY  
ANOTHER PARTY**

**[Re: Docket No. 220]**

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS

Before the Court is Defendant and Counterclaimant Cisco Systems, Inc. and Counterclaimant Cisco Technology, Inc.'s (collectively, "Cisco") administrative motion to file under seal (Docket No. 220) certain documents designated confidential by another party pursuant to the Protective Order in this action and references to those documents in Cisco's letter brief and accompanying declaration in support thereof and certain exhibits thereto. (Docket Nos. 221 & 222.)

Local Rule 79-5(d) provides that a party who wishes to file a document that has been designated confidential by another party pursuant to a protective order has to file an administrative motion and lodge the document with the Clerk. Civ. L.R. 79-5(d). Thereafter, the designating party must file with the Court and serve a declaration establishing that the designated information is sealable. *Id.*

**United States District Court**  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Cisco filed its administrative order to file under seal portions of its letter brief and accompanying declaration on May 28. (*See* Docket Nos. 221 & 222.) Although it was not until June 22 that the designating parties filed a responsive declaration (Docket No. 244), they nevertheless established that the documents are sealable since they contain confidential and sensitive business information and confidential information related to the immigration status of certain individuals.

Accordingly, this Court GRANTS Cisco’s administrative motion to seal. The documents and excerpts listed in Exhibit A to the Declaration of Patrick Ryan filed with Cisco’s administrative motion shall be filed under seal by the Clerk of the Court.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: June 23, 2010



---

HOWARD R. LLOYD  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1 **C08-05391 JW (HRL) Notice will be electronically mailed to:**

2 Brian Curtis Vanderhoof      bvanderhoof@rmkb.com, aarriola@rmkb.com, jcecchini@rmkb.com,  
kkakiuchi@rmkb.com  
3 Dan Keith Webb                      dwebb@winston.com  
Donald Ross Pepperman              dpepperman@blechercollins.com, ljaramillo@blechercollins.com  
4 James C. Potepan                      jpotepan@ropers.com, aarriola@ropers.com, kkakiuchi@ropers.com,  
tpierson@ropers.com  
5 Joseph J. Bial                          joseph.bial@cwt.com  
Maxwell Michael Blecher              mblecher@blechercollins.com  
6 Michael Sungwoo Kim                  mkim@rmkb.com, jcecchini@rmkb.com, kkakiuchi@rmkb.com  
Patrick Martin Ryan                      pryan@winston.com, DocketSF@winston.com,  
7 mthomasian@winston.com, ndelich@winston.com,  
tmontague@winston.com  
8 Thomas Michael O'Leary              toleary@rmkb.com, aarriola@rmkb.com, jcecchini@rmkb.com,  
kkakiuchi@ropers.com  
9

10 **Notice will be sent by other means to:**

11 Charles F. Rule  
Cadwalader Wickersham & Taft LLP  
12 700 Sixth Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20001  
13

14 James Robert Noblin  
Blecher & Collins, P.C.  
515 South Figueroa Street  
15 Suite 1750  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-3334  
16

17 **Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel who have not**  
18 **registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.**  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28