

1 Daniel J. O'Connor (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 2 Edward K. Runyan (*Pro Hac Vice*)
BAKER & MCKENZIE LLP
 3 One Prudential Plaza
 130 East Randolph Drive
 4 Chicago, IL 60601
 Telephone: +1 312 861 8000
 5 daniel.j.oconnor@bakernet.com
 edward.k.runyan@bakernet.com

7 Tod L. Gamlen (SBN 83458)
BAKER & MCKENZIE LLP
 8 660 Hansen Way
 Palo Alto, CA 94303-1044
 9 Telephone: +1 650 856 2400
 tod.l.gamlen@bakernet.com

11 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 BARCO N.V.

John L. Cooper (SBN 050324)
 jcooper@fbm.com
 Jeffrey M. Fisher (SBN 155284)
 jfisher@fmb.com
Farella Braun & Martel LLP
 235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94104
 Telephone: (415) 954-4400
 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480

Attorney for Defendants
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED
 and **ALLIACENSE LIMITED**

Charles T. Hoge, Esq. (SBN 110696)
 choge@knlh.com
Kirby Noonan Lance & Hoge
 35 Tenth Avenue
 San Diego, CA 92101
 Telephone: (619) 231-8666
 Facsimile: (619) 231-9593

Attorney for Defendants
PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 16 SAN JOSE DIVISION

17 BARCO N.V., a Belgian corporation

18 Plaintiff,

19 v.

20 TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LTD.,
 21 PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORP., and
 ALLIACENSE LTD.,

22 Defendants.

Case No. 5:08-CV-05398 JF

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER**

23
 24 **WHEREAS** on February 22, 2010 this Court entered an Order Following Case
 Management Conference (D.I. 148) establishing a schedule for this action (“Scheduling Order”);

26 **WHEREAS** on February 26, 2010, the case styled *Sirius XM Radio v. Technology*
 27 *Properties Limited et al*, Case No. C10-00816 EDL (N.D. Cal.) (“Sirius Action”) was transferred
 28 to the Northern District of California from the Southern District of New York;

1 **WHEREAS** the Sirius Action involves all of the patents in this action;

2 **WHEREAS** the parties in the Sirius Action have filed a joint motion under Civil
3 Local Rule 3-12 to consider Whether Cases Should Be Related and Requesting Modification of
4 Existing Case Schedule (“Motion”), seeking to have the Sirius Action deemed related to this
5 action and assigned to the Honorable Jeremy Fogel for further proceedings;

6 **WHEREAS** the Motion also seeks entry of a proposed schedule similar to the one
7 adopted in the Scheduling Order, but with the dates adjusted by an average of 3-4 weeks;

8 **WHEREAS** the parties to this action have met and conferred and have agreed
9 that, in the interests of judicial economy and to conserve judicial and party resources, the
10 Scheduling Order in this action should be modified to match the dates set forth in the schedule
11 proposed for the Sirius Action, as follows:

Event	Scheduling Order Date	New [Proposed] Date
Last day to serve amended disclosure of asserted claims and infringement contentions (and related documents) for United States Patent No. 5,809,336 (“the ‘336 patent”)	April 19, 2010	April 30, 2010
Last day to serve amended invalidity contentions (and related documents)	June 3, 2010	June 30, 2010
Last day to exchange list of “Proposed terms and Claim Elements for Construction” for the ‘336 patent	June 25, 2010	July 23, 2010
Last day to exchange “Preliminary Claim Constructions and Extrinsic Evidence” for the ‘336 patent	June 25, 2010	August 30, 2010
Last day to file joint claim construction and pre-hearing statement	July 16, 2010	September 21, 2010
Claim construction discovery cut-off	August 13, 2010	October 19, 2010
Defendants’ opening claim construction brief	August 27, 2010	November 2, 2010

Event	Scheduling Order Date	New [Proposed] Date
Plaintiffs' responsive claim construction brief	September 10, 2010	November 30, 2010
Defendants' reply claim construction brief	September 17, 2010	December 14, 2010
Patent Technology Tutorial*	*If requested by the Court Approximately 20 days after reply claim construction brief	*If requested by the Court Approximately 20 days after reply claim construction brief
Claim construction hearing	To be determined	To be determined
Status conference	Three weeks after the claim construction hearing	Three weeks after the claim construction hearing
Defendants to serve willfulness documents; opinion of counsel	50 days after the claim construction ruling	50 days after the claim construction ruling
Close of fact discovery	Six months after the final invalidity contentions	Six months after the final invalidity contentions
Initial expert reports	30 days after the close of fact discovery	30 days after the close of fact discovery
Rebuttal expert reports	30 days after the initial expert reports	30 days after the initial expert reports
Close of expert discovery	Two weeks after the rebuttal expert reports	Two weeks after the rebuttal expert reports
Trial	To be determined	To be determined

1 **THEREFORE**, the parties hereby respectfully request that the Court adopt the
 2 following schedule for this action::

Event	Date
Last day to serve amended disclosure of asserted claims and infringement contentions (and related documents) for United States Patent No. 5,809,336 (“the ‘336 patent”)	April 30, 2010
Last day to serve amended invalidity contentions (and related documents)	June 30, 2010
Last day to exchange list of “Proposed terms and Claim Elements for Construction” for the ‘336 patent	July 23, 2010
Last day to exchange “Preliminary Claim Constructions and Extrinsic Evidence” for the ‘336 patent	August 30, 2010
Last day to file joint claim construction and pre-hearing statement	September 21, 2010
Claim construction discovery cut-off	October 19, 2010
Defendants’ opening claim construction brief	November 2, 2010
Plaintiffs’ responsive claim construction brief	November 30, 2010
Defendants’ reply claim construction brief	December 14, 2010
Patent Technology Tutorial*	*If requested by the Court Approximately 20 days after reply claim construction brief
Claim construction hearing	To be determined
Status conference	Three weeks after the claim construction hearing
Defendants to serve willfulness documents; opinion of counsel	50 days after the claim construction ruling
Close of fact discovery	Six months after the final invalidity

Event	Date
	contentions
Initial expert reports	30 days after the close of fact discovery
Rebuttal expert reports	30 days after the initial expert reports
Close of expert discovery	Two weeks after the rebuttal expert reports
Trial	To be determined

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED.

Dated: April 30, 2010

BAKER & MCKENZIE LLP

By: /s/ Edward Runyan

Edward K. Runyan

Attorney for Plaintiff Barco NV

Dated: April 30, 2010

FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL LLP

By: /s/ John Cooper

John L. Cooper

Attorneys for Defendants
 TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED
 and ALLIACENSE LIMITED

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: April 30, 2010

KIRBY NOONAN LANCE & HOGE

By: /s/ Charles Hoge
Charles T. Hoge

Attorneys for Defendants
PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated: 5/17/10



The Honorable Jeremy Fogel
United States District Judge

FILER'S ATTESTATION

Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X, Subparagraph B, the undersigned attests that all parties have concurred in the filing of this STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER.

DATED: April 30, 2010

BAKER & MCKENZIE, LLP

By: s/ Edward Runyan
Edward K. Runyan