

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

E-FILED on 1/15/10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

GARY W. HAYNIE,
Plaintiff,
v.
DOWNTOWN SUPERIOR COURT, PEYTON
SCHULER,
Defendants.

No. C 08-5457 RMW

JUDGMENT

On January 15, 2010, the court granted defendants' motion to dismiss without leave to amend on the ground that the complaint failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted and that the court was precluded from hearing the case by the Rooker-Feldman doctrine. Therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that defendants Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara (sued as "Downtown Superior Court") and Peytie Schuler (sued as "Peyton Schuler") have judgment against plaintiff Gary W. Haynie and that plaintiff take nothing by way of his complaint in this action.

DATED: 1/15/10


RONALD M. WHYTE
United States District Judge

1 **Notice of this document has been electronically sent to:**

2 **Counsel for Defendants:**

3 **Paul T. Hammerness**
4 Email: paul.hammerness@doj.ca.gov

5 **A Copy of this document has been mailed to:**

6 **Plaintiff (Pro Se):**
7 **Gary W. Haynie**
8 545 Sobrato Drive
9 Campbell, CA 95008-4631

10
11 Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel that have not
12 registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.

13
14
15 **Dated:** 1/15/10

TER
Chambers of Judge Whyte

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28