Document 11

Filed 03/25/2009

Page 1 of 2

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	v, individually and as or Sylveria McGraw, and	CASE NO. COR ON MOR UDI
Rudy McGraw	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C 08-CV-5483 HRL
Alum Rock Unio District, Jose Mai	v. n Elementary School nzo, et al Defendant(s).	STIPULATION AND <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	el report that they have met as ulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the .16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties ag	ree to participate in the follow	wing ADR process:
✓	Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (AI Early Neutral Evaluation (EI Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	NE) (ADR L.R. 5)
appreciably m	ore likely to meet their needs	ttlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an is form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for all 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Privat	e <b>Process:</b> Private ADR (please identif)	y process and provider)
The parties ag	referring the case to an ADF	by: he deadline is 90 days from the date of the order R process unless otherwise ordered.)
Dated: March 1  Dated: 3/24	other requested deadline	William C. Dresser  Attorney for Plaintiff  Maria C.  Attorney for Defendant

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

✓ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

✓ 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

	3/26/09	
Dated:		

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE