

1 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP
 2 DARALYN J. DURIE - #169825
 3 DAVID J. SILBERT - #173128
 4 DAN JACKSON - #216091
 5 710 Sansome Street
 6 San Francisco, CA 94111-1704
 7 Telephone: (415) 391-5400
 8 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188
 9 ddurie@kvn.com
 10 dsilbert@kvn.com
 11 djackson@kvn.com

12 Attorneys for Defendants
 13 COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS LLC
 14 and INSIGHT COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 16
 17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN JOSE DIVISION

18 In re

19 ACACIA MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES
 20 CORPORATION

Case No. C-05-01114 JW

**DECLARATION OF DAN JACKSON
 IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS'
 MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY
 JUDGMENT OF INVALIDITY AND
 NONINFRINGEMENT OF ALL
 CLAIMS OF THE '702 PATENT**

Date: June 2, 2006
 Time: 9:00 a.m.
 Courtroom: 8, 4th Floor
 Judge: Honorable James Ware

1 I, DAN JACKSON, declare and state that:

2 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice before this Court, and am associated with the
3 law firm of Kecker & Van Nest, LLP, counsel for defendants Comcast Cable Communications,
4 LLC and Insight Communications, Inc. in the above-captioned action. I have knowledge of the
5 facts set forth herein, and if called to testify as a witness thereto, could do so competently under
6 oath.

7 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of a letter I sent to Alan P.
8 Block, counsel for Acacia, on January 5, 2006.

9 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of Mr. Block's response,
10 dated January 13, 2006.

11 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of a January 13, 2006
12 letter from Roderick G. Dorman to all counsel of record and its attachment, a proposed
13 stipulation.

14 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of a letter I sent to Mr.
15 Block on January 19, 2006 along with its attachment, a revised (redline) draft of the proposed
16 stipulation.

17 6. On January 20, 2006, I spoke with Mr. Block by telephone. He informed me that
18 Acacia was not willing to enter into the revised stipulation that I had proposed and that instead, it
19 would file its own motion for summary judgment of invalidity and noninfringement of the '702
20 patent, and for certification under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b).

21 7. Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of a letter to me from Alan
22 Block dated January 24, 2006.

23 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
24 foregoing is true and correct. Executed on April 28, 2006, in San Francisco, California.

25
26
27
28

/s/ Dan Jackson
DAN JACKSON