

1 **HENNIGAN, BENNETT & DORMAN LLP**
 2 **Roderick G. Dorman (SBN 96908)**
 3 dormanr@hbdlawyers.com
 4 **Alan P. Block (SBN 143783)**
 5 blocka@hbdlawyers.com
 6 **Marc Morris**
 7 morrism@hbdlawyers.com
 8 **865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2900**
 9 **Los Angeles, California 90017**
 10 **Telephone: (213) 694-1200**
 11 **Fax: (213) 694-1234**

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 13 ACACIA MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 16 SAN JOSE DIVISION

17 In re

) Case No.05 CV 01114 JW

18 ACACIA MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES
 19 CORPORATION,

)
) **DECLARATION OF ALAN P. BLOCK IN**
) **SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF ACACIA**
) **MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES**
) **CORPORATION’S OPPOSITION TO:**

-)
) **1. ROUND 3 DEFENDANTS’**
) **MOTION FOR SUMMARY**
) **JUDGMENT OF INVALIDITY**
) **UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112 OF THE**
) **‘992, ‘863, AND ‘702 PATENTS;**
) **AND**
) **2. SATELLITE DEFENDANTS’**
) **MOTION FOR SUMMARY**
) **JUDGMENT OF INVALIDITY OF**
) **THE ‘992, ‘863, AND ‘720**
) **PATENTS**

) Date: TBD
) Time: TBD
) Ctrm: 8, 4th Floor
) Judge: Hon. James Ware

HENNIGAN, BENNETT & DORMAN LLP
 LAWYERS
 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

1 I, Alan P. Block, declare and state as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney admitted to practice before the Courts of the State of California. I
3 am a partner in the law firm of Hennigan, Bennett & Dorman LLP, counsel of record for plaintiff
4 SpeedTrack, Inc. in this action. I offer this declaration in support of Plaintiff Acacia Media
5 Technologies, Inc.'s Opposition to: (1) Round 3 Defendants' Motion For Summary Judgment Of
6 Invalidity Under 35 U.S.C. § 112 Of The '992, '863, And '702 Patents; And (2) Satellite
7 Defendants' Motion For Summary Judgment Of Invalidity Of The '992, '863, And '720 Patents.
8 Except where specifically noted, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration
9 and could and would testify competently if called as a witness.

10 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of the Manual of Patent
11 Examining Procedure ("MPEP"), 5th Ed., Rev. 13 (Nov. 1989), § 706, at 700-5 to 700-6.

12 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of the MPEP, 5th Ed., Rev. 13
13 (Nov. 1989), § 2106.02, at 2100-5 to 2100-7.

14 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of the originally-filed claims
15 filed on January 7, 1991 with the patent application that matured into U.S. Patent No. 5,132,992
16 ('992 patent).

17 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of a portion of the Declaration
18 of S. Merrill Weiss dated May 18, 2007, at ¶¶ 29-31.

19 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of a portion of the Declaration
20 of Stephen M. Walters dated July 17, 2007, at ¶ 40.

21 7. Attached hereto as Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of the following letters from
22 defendants' counsel which set forth the issues that defendants' proposed to raise in their Section 112
23 Motions for Summary Judgment: (1) March 28, 2008 letter from Mr. Benyacar; (2) April 11, 2008
24 letter from Mr. Benyacar; (3) March 28, 2008 letter from Mr. Kreeger; and (3) April 11, 2008 letter
25 from Mr. Kreeger.
26
27
28

