

EXHIBIT G

From: Baum, Brandon [BBaum@mayerbrown.com]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2008 3:05 PM
To: Ellinikos, Maria
Cc: Hemminger, Steve; Masur, Joshua M.; Campos, Mario
Subject: RE: Viacom v. YouTube - Letter from BayTSP dated 2-13-08

Maria, it seems we have bit of a chicken/egg problem but since you have the list and we don't, it's hard for us to agree without knowing what is in and what is out. Can we see the master list and the subset of entities that you would like to exclude from the definition?

BB

From: Ellinikos, Maria [mailto:mellinikos@akingump.com]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2008 1:17 PM
To: Baum, Brandon
Cc: Hemminger, Steve; Masur, Joshua M.; Campos, Mario
Subject: RE: Viacom v. YouTube - Letter from BayTSP dated 2-13-08

Brandon,

The term Viacom-related entities is ambiguous because we do not know what entities YouTube considers to be related to Viacom. Are you agreeable to replacing the phrase "subsidiaries of Viacom " with the phrase "the agreed upon list of Viacom-related entities"? If that is acceptable, please interlineate and return.

Regards,

Maria Ellinikos
Litigation Associate
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP
580 California Street, Suite 1500
San Francisco, California 94104
Tel: (415) 765-9560
Fax: (415) 765-9501
mellinikos@akingump.com

From: Baum, Brandon [mailto:BBaum@mayerbrown.com]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2008 12:11 PM
To: Ellinikos, Maria
Cc: Hemminger, Steve; Masur, Joshua M.
Subject: FW: Viacom v. YouTube - Letter from BayTSP dated 2-13-08

Maria,

I received your letter and all looks fine except that I'm not sure what the definition of "subsidiaries" is and would prefer to capture any Viacom related entity. That may be co-extensive with "subsidiaries" but since you have the list of Viacom-related entities and I don't, I can't be sure. If that is acceptable, I can simply interlineate and return.

2/15/2008

Brandon

From: Wilkinson, Jill M.
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 2:08 PM
To: Baum, Brandon
Subject: Viacom v. YouTube - Letter from BayTSP dated 2-13-08

Attached.

<<Viacom v YouTube 2-13-08 Letter from BayTSP.pdf>>

Effective September 1, 2007, we have changed our name to Mayer Brown LLP.

IRS CIRCULAR 230 NOTICE. Any advice expressed above as to tax matters was neither written nor intended by the sender or Mayer Brown LLP to be used and cannot be used by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding tax penalties that may be imposed under U.S. tax law. If any person uses or refers to any such tax advice in promoting, marketing or recommending a partnership or other entity, investment plan or arrangement to any taxpayer, then (i) the advice was written to support the promotion or marketing (by a person other than Mayer Brown LLP) of that transaction or matter, and (ii) such taxpayers should seek advice based on the taxpayers particular circumstances from an independent tax advisor.

This email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail.

IRS Circular 230 Notice Requirement: This communication is not given in the form of
The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal a

2/15/2008