

FOSTER & ASSOCIATES
 ATTORNEYS AT LAW
 610 -- 16TH STREET - SUITE 310 • OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612
 TEL: (510) 763-1900 • FAX: (510) 763-5952

1 MICHAEL W. FOSTER (SBN 127691)
 DAVID J. CARDIFF (SBN 184246)
 2 FOSTER AND ASSOCIATES
 610 16TH STREET, SUITE 310
 3 OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612
 TELEPHONE: (510) 763-1900
 4 FACSIMILE: (510) 763-5952
mfoster@fosterlaborlaw.com
 5 dcardiff@fosterlaborlaw.com
 Attorneys for Defendant
 6 SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC.

7
 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION

12 MYRA C. CRENSHAW,
 13 Plaintiff,

15 v.

17 SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC.,
 18 Defendant.

Case No. C 05-02387 RMW
 Trial Date: January 22, 2007

[PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

DATE: February 10, 2006
 TIME: 10:30 a.m.
 DEPT: 6

The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte

20 The parties appeared for their scheduled Case Management Conference (“CMC”) on
 21 February 10, 2006. Plaintiff Myra Crenshaw appeared in propria persona. David Cardiff, Esq.,
 22 of Foster & Associates, appeared on behalf of Defendant Sun Microsystems, Inc.

23 At the CMC the Court issued this ORDER establishing the following trial and pre-trial
 24 schedules and directions in this case:

25	June 30, 2006:	Non-expert discovery cut-off
26	September 8, 2006:	Last day to hear dispositive motions
27	September 9, 2006:	Expert witness designation cut-off
27	September 22, 2006:	Rebuttal expert witness designation cut-off
28	October 13, 2006:	Expert deposition cut-off

1 January 5, 2007: Joint Pre-Trial Statement
2 January 11, 2007: Pre-Trial Conference, 2:00 p.m.
3 January 22, 2007: Trial

4 The Magistrate assigned to this case is Magistrate Judge Howard R. Lloyd. The parties
5 shall meet and confer in advance of the trial date in a timely manner so as to provide ample time
6 to schedule a Settlement Conference with the Magistrate.

7 This case is assigned to Early Neutral Evaluation (“ENE”). The parties shall meet and
8 confer to coordinate a date for the ENE.

9 The following limitations on discovery shall apply:

10 10 Requests for Admissions per party
11 25 Interrogatories per party
12 10 Depositions per party

13 There shall be no numeric limit on the number of document production requests that
14 parties may serve, but such requests shall be narrowly tailored.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated:

17 _____
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28