EXHIBIT 20

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES GYPSUM COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

No. C 04-04941 JSW

PACIFIC AWARD METALS, INC.,)

Defendant.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DUANE SIMMONS

San Diego, California

Tuesday, January 24, 2006

Reported by: LISA JONES CSR No. 8142 Job No. 175500

- developing the product, developing the machinery.
- 2 Q And what would those be?
- A Rents, labor.
- ⁴ Q Anything else?
- 5 A That was principally it, I think. We had to
- 6 pay double rent because we moved into a bigger facility
- ⁷ to accommodate.
- Q Do you know of any specific instances in which
- 9 Award Metals lost a sale because USG had been marking
- some of its products with the 198 patent?
- MR. STUART: Objection. Calls for speculation,
- lacks foundation, vague.
- A You're talking about something I'm not familiar
- with.
- 15 BY MR. JENKINS:
- O So you don't have any knowledge of ever losing
- 17 a sale because USG marked its product with the 198
- patent?
- 19 A I have no knowledge, no.
- MR. JENKINS: I need to take a quick break,
- find a document.
- MR. STUART: Sure.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 3:06
- 24 p.m.
- 25 (Recess.)