1 2	A. JOHN P. MANCINI (<i>pro hac vice</i>) MAYER BROWN LLP 1675 Broadway			
3	New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 506-2500			
4	Facsimile: (212) 262-1910 Email: jmancini@mayerbrown.com			
	·			
5	DAVID H. KRAMER, State Bar No. 168452 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI			
6	650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050			
7	Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100			
8	Email: dkramer@wsgr.com Email: mrubin@wsgr.com			
9	RICHARD B. KENDALL, State Bar No. 90072			
10	PHILIP M. KELLY, State Bar No. 212174			
11	KENDALL BRILL & KLIEGER LLP 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 1725			
12	Los Angeles, California 90067 Tel.: (310) 556-2700			
13	Fax: (310) 556-2705 Email: rkendall@kbkfirm.com			
14	Email: pkelly@kbkfirm.com			
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
15	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRIC			
16		CT OF CALIFORNIA		
16 17	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA		
16 17 18	NORTHERN DISTRICE SAN JOSE I IN RE SUBPOENA TO BayTSP, INC.	CT OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx)		
16 17 18 19	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO		
16 17 18 19	NORTHERN DISTRICE SAN JOSE IN RE SUBPOENA TO BayTSP, INC. VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., et al., Plaintiffs, v.	CT OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS		
16 17 18	NORTHERN DISTRICE SAN JOSE IN RE SUBPOENA TO BayTSP, INC. VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., et al., Plaintiffs, v. YOUTUBE, INC., et al.,	CT OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS (S.D.N.Y. CASE NOS. I :07-cv-021 03 (LLS) (FM); I :07-cv-3582 (LLS) (FM))		
16 17 18 19 20	NORTHERN DISTRICE SAN JOSE IN RE SUBPOENA TO BayTSP, INC. VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., et al., Plaintiffs, v. YOUTUBE, INC., et al., Defendants.	CT OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS (S.D.N.Y. CASE NOS. I :07-cv-021 03		
116 117 118 119 220 221	NORTHERN DISTRICE SAN JOSE IN RE SUBPOENA TO BayTSP, INC. VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., et al., Plaintiffs, v. YOUTUBE, INC., et al.,	CT OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS (S.D.N.Y. CASE NOS. I :07-cv-021 03 (LLS) (FM); I :07-cv-3582 (LLS) (FM))		
116 117 118 119 220 221 222	NORTHERN DISTRICE SAN JOSE IN RE SUBPOENA TO BayTSP, INC. VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., et al., Plaintiffs, V. YOUTUBE, INC., et al., Defendants. THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER	CT OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS (S.D.N.Y. CASE NOS. I :07-cv-021 03 (LLS) (FM); I :07-cv-3582 (LLS) (FM))		
116 117 118 119 220 221 222 233	NORTHERN DISTRICE SAN JOSE IN RE SUBPOENA TO BayTSP, INC. VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., et al., Plaintiffs, v. YOUTUBE, INC., et al., Defendants. THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, et al., Plaintiffs, v.	CT OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS (S.D.N.Y. CASE NOS. I :07-cv-021 03 (LLS) (FM); I :07-cv-3582 (LLS) (FM))		
116 117 118 119 20 21 22 22 23 24	NORTHERN DISTRICE SAN JOSE IN RE SUBPOENA TO BayTSP, INC. VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., et al., Plaintiffs, v. YOUTUBE, INC., et al., Defendants. THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, et al., Plaintiffs, v. YOUTUBE, INC. et al.,	CT OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS (S.D.N.Y. CASE NOS. I :07-cv-021 03 (LLS) (FM); I :07-cv-3582 (LLS) (FM))		
116 117 118 119 120 221 222 233 224 225	NORTHERN DISTRICE SAN JOSE IN RE SUBPOENA TO BayTSP, INC. VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., et al., Plaintiffs, v. YOUTUBE, INC., et al., Defendants. THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, et al., Plaintiffs, v.	CT OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION CASE NO.: 08-MC-80211 JF (PVTx) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS (S.D.N.Y. CASE NOS. I :07-cv-021 03 (LLS) (FM); I :07-cv-3582 (LLS) (FM))		

1	WHEREAS on September 27, 2007, YouTube, Inc., YouTube, LLC and Google Inc.,	
2	(collectively, "YouTube" or "Defendants") issued a non-party subpoena ("Subpoena") from this	
3	Court to BayTSP, Inc. ("BayTSP") in connection with civil actions filed by Viacom	
4	International, Inc. et al. and The Football Association Premier League, et al. against YouTube,	
5	Inc. et al. in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Case Nos. I	
6	:07-cv-021 03 (LLS) (FM) and I :07-cv-3582 (LLS) (FM), respectively (collectively, "SDNY	
7	Actions"). The Subpoena sought documents relating to BayTSP's clients, including Viacom-	
8	related clients and non-Viacom-related clients;	
9	WHEREAS BayTSP objected to the Subpoena on various grounds and YouTube moved	
10	to compel BayTSP's compliance with the Subpoena. United States Magistrate Judge Patricia	
11	Trumbull granted YouTube's motion to compel and ordered that BayTSP produce "documents	
12	related to the Viacom-related entities no later than March 6, 2009" and "documents related to the	
13	non-Viacom related entities no later than July 15, 2009";	
14	WHEREAS BayTSP objected to the Magistrate Judge's order and the District Court, and	
15	Honorable Jeremy Fogel, overruled BayTSP's objection on April 24, 2009;	
16	WHEREAS BayTSP has largely completed production of documents related to the	
17	Viacom-related entities and will make a supplemental production on or about September 15,	
18	2009;	
19	WHEREAS BayTSP and YouTube previously stipulated to extending the deadline for	
20	BayTSP to produce documents related to the non-Viacom related entities from July 15, 2009 to	
21	September 15, 2009;	
22	WHEREAS the parties retained an electronic discovery vendor to collect and process for	
23	review the BayTSP source data;	
24	WHEREAS BayTSP and YouTube reached agreement on the final search term list on	
25	September 11, 2009 to target responsive non-Viacom related documents, and the electronic	
26	discovery vendor is processing responsive documents to create a database for reviewing the	
27	documents;	

28

WHEREAS the electronic discovery vendor expects to complete the processing on a

rolling basis in no more than 45 days, and the documents will thereafter be reviewed by BayTSP			
and its customers for privilege and responsiveness, and thereafter produced as appropriate;			
3 therefore			
4 IT IS HEREBY AGREED THAT:			
1. The deadline by which BayTSP must produce documents and a privilege log			
related to the non-Viacom entities is hereby extended from September 15, 2009 to October 15,			
2009, provided that BayTSP produces responsive documents on a rolling basis as they become			
8 available.			
2. The parties will negotiate further extensions of this deadline in good	I faith, if		
0 necessary.			
BayTSP will provide YouTube with periodic status updates on the qu	uantity of		
documents BayTSP has reviewed and produced and the quantity of unreviewed documents			
3 remaining.			
4			
5 and Google Inc.	be, LLC		
6			
A. John P. Mancini (<i>pro hac vice</i>)			
8 1675 Broadway			
Telephone: (212) 506-2500			
$0 \parallel$			
1 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH &	k ROSATI		
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050			
Facsimile: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7	and its customers for privilege and responsiveness, and thereafter produced as applitherefore IT IS HEREBY AGREED THAT: 1. The deadline by which BayTSP must produce documents and a privilege and responsive documents and a privilege according to the non-Viacom entities is hereby extended from September 15, 2009 to Occ 2009, provided that BayTSP produces responsive documents on a rolling basis as they available. 2. The parties will negotiate further extensions of this deadline in good necessary. 3. BayTSP will provide YouTube with periodic status updates on the quadocuments BayTSP has reviewed and produced and the quantity of unreviewed degree remaining. AGREED and STIPULATED Attorneys for YouTube, Inc., YouTube,		

1			
2	AGREED and STIPULATED Attorneys for BayTSP, Inc.		
3	September 14, 2009		
4	By: /s/ Philip M. Kelly	_	
5	Richard B. Kendall Philip M. Kelly		
6	KENDALL BRILL & KLIEGER LLP 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 1725	í	
7 8	Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 556-2700 Facsimile: (310) 556-2705		
		ha	
9 10	Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section $X(B)$, the filer hereby attests that the signatories' concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
11 12	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13	Dated:		
14	Honorable Patricia V. Trumbull United States Magistrate Judge		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	-3-		