## **EXHIBIT B**

CASE NO. 03-CV-04866 (PJH/EDL)

DECLARATION OF CATHERINE BLUE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 2. With respect to Defendants' Request for Production No. 9, I spoke with several representatives within Cingular/ATTW's Customer Service Department to perform a thorough and diligent search of its complaint records involving San Francisco customers for the time period starting in 2003 when AT&T Wireless ("ATTW") started providing wireless services in the City and County of San Francisco using Global Systems for Mobile (GSM) technology pursuant to the Court's Order. I was informed by these representatives that while Cingular/ATTW's complaint records may contain references to coverage issues as well as other customer service issues, the complaint records are not organized by geographic market. Furthermore, I was informed that Cingular/ATTW's complaint records are unlikely to contain documents responsive to this request regarding the quality or coverage in the vicinity of the SBC Central Office Building located at 378 10th Avenue in San Francisco as the complaint records do not contain such detailed information. As such, ATTW has not located any documents responsive to Request for Production No. 9 at this time. Cingular/ATTW's customer service representatives are continuing to determine whether there is a method to organize the complaint records by geographic market to determine whether ATTW has any documents responsive to Request for Production No. 9.
- 3. With respect to Defendants' Request for Production Nos. 10, 11, and 12, I directed Cingular's radio frequency engineer Marcelo Pontin and Cingular's outside counsel to perform a thorough and diligent search for all back up documents relating to the charts entitled "Key Network Performance Metrics," "Existing GSM Coverage," and "Proposed GSM Coverage" that ATTW submitted to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors ("Board") on September 16, 2003. As part of this search, Marcelo Pontin performed a thorough search of the computer files and paper files in Cingular's South San Francisco office which directed much of the work relating to the antenna site at SBC Central Office Building located at 378 10th Avenue in San Francisco. Mr. Pontin could not find any computer files or documents relating to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

drive tests performed prior to the Board hearing on September 16, 2003. It is not ATTW's policy and practice to retain the type of back up information requested here.

- 4. Similarly, I directed Jim Heard of MacKenzie and Albritton LLP, ATTW's outside counsel for the conditional use permit application, to perform a thorough search of their files for documents responsive to Defendants Request for Production Nos. 10, 11 and 12. Mr. Heard could not find any computer files or documents relating to the drive tests performed prior to the Board hearing on September 16, 2003.
- 5. Also as part of the search for documents responsive to Defendants' Request for Production Nos. 10, 11, and 12, I directed Cingular's outside counsel to contact Danesh Banga and Ajay Khanna who are the radio frequency engineers for WFI, an outside contractor, that performed the drive tests used to create the charts submitted to the Board on September 16, 2003. Mr. Banga and Mr. Khanna performed a thorough search of their computer files and paper files and could not find any computer files or documents relating to the drive tests performed prior to the Board hearing on September 16, 2003. In addition, Cingular's outside counsel contacted various WFI representatives, including WFI's in-house legal counsel, to determine why WFI no longer had the computer files or documents relating to the drive tests. The WFI representatives stated that WFI would have provided those files or documents to the client upon completion of the drive testing, and it is not WFI's policy and practice to retain the type of back up information requested upon completion of the project.
- 6. With respect to Defendants' Request for Production No. 20, ATTW has previously produced certain documents responsive to this Request. These documents were produced bates-labeled P00084-P00103, P00448-P00449, P00515, P00528-P00530, P00538-P00552. In addition, I directed Cingular's outside counsel to contact WFI, the outside contractor that were hired by ATTW to assist in the planning and development of the antenna site at SBC Central Office Building located at 378 10th Avenue in San Francisco. As part of this search, Cingular's outside counsel contacted various WFI representatives, including WFI's in-house legal counsel, to try to obtain invoices for the radio frequency consulting work performed by WFI on ATTW's behalf relating to this site. The WFI representatives stated that WFI's invoices

covering WFI's work performed relating to this site are not itemized by a particular site. Rather, WFI would have invoiced ATTW on an aggregate basis for all of WFI's radio frequency engineering consulting work relating to all of ATTW antenna sites in the United States for a particular month. As such, the WFI invoice would not have any information responsive to Request No. 20.

Holland & Knight LLP 50 California Street, 28th Floor I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct, executed this 22nd day of March, 2005 at Paramus, New Jersey

CATHERINE BLUE

On the state of th

## 2 AT&T WIRELESS v. THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO U.S. District Court, Northern District, Case No. 03 cv 04866 (PJH) 3 4 above-captioned action; that my business address is 50 California Street, Suite 2800, San 5 Francisco, CA 94111-4624. 6 On March 22, 2005, the following document(s) were served: 7 **DECLARATION OF CATHERINE BLUE** 8 on the parties to this action at the following address(es): 9 William K. Sanders, Esq. 10 Deputy City Attorney 11 Office of the City Attorney City and County of San Francisco 50 California Street, Suite 2800 San Francisco, CA 94111-4624 12 City Hall, Room 234 Holland & Knight LLP 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place Fax: (415) 743-6910 13 San Francisco, CA 94102-4682 14 Fax: 415.554.4757 15 with first-class postage affixed and placed the envelope for collection. Mail is collected daily at 16 my office and placed in a United States Postal Service collection box for pickup and delivery that 17 same day. 18 to the office(s) of each addressee. 19 20 the addressee(s) at the fax number(s) noted above. The fax machine used complied with 21 California Rules of Court §2003(3) and no error was reported. 22 true and correct. 23 24 Executed March 22, 2005, at San Francisco, California. 25 Popular, 26 27 # 2712472\_v1 28 Proof of Service Case No.: 03 cv 04866 (PJH)

1

PROOF OF SERVICE I, the undersigned, hereby declare that I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the (BY MAIL) I caused a true copy of each document(s) to be placed in a sealed envelope (BY MESSENGER) I caused a true copy of each document(s) to be delivered by hand **(BY FACSIMILE)** I caused a true copy of each document(s) to be transmitted by fax to I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is