1	MICHAEL A. LADRA, State Bar No. 64037	
2	Email: mladra@wsgr.com JAMES C. YOON, State Bar No. 177155	
3	Email: jyoon@wsgr.com STEFANI E. SHANBERG, State Bar No. 206717	
4	Email: sshanberg@wsgr.com ARIANA M. CHUNG-HAN (State Bar No. 19757	2)
5	Email: achung@wsgr.com ROBIN L. BREWER, State Bar No. 253686	_)
6	Email: rbrewer@wsgr.com WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI	
	Professional Corporation	
7	650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050	
8	Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendan	t
10	FORTINET, INC.	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRIC	Γ OF CALIFORNIA
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
14	FORTINET, INC., a Delaware corporation,	) CASE NO.: 09-CV-00036-RMW (PVT)
15	Plaintiff,	) ORDER GRANTING ) ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
16	V.	<ul> <li>) FILE DOCUMENTS AND PORTIONS</li> <li>) OF DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL</li> </ul>
17	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC., and	) PERTAINING TO FORTINET, INC.'S
18	PATRICK R. BROGAN,	<ul> <li>OPPOSITION TO PALO ALTO</li> <li>NETWORKS, INC.'S MOTION FOR</li> </ul>
19	Defendants.	<ul> <li>SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF</li> <li>NONINFRINGEMENT REGARDING</li> </ul>
20		) THE '125 AND '311 PATENTS )
21		) _)
22	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS	)
23		_)
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Order Granting Administrative Motion Case No.: 09-CV-00036-RMW (PVT)	Dockets.Justia

1	Having considered Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant Fortinet, Inc.'s administrative	
2	motion to file under seal portions of Fortinet's Opposition to Palo Alto Networks, Inc.'s ("PAN")	
3	Motion for Summary Judgment of Noninfringement Regarding the '125 and '311 Patents	
4	("Opposition"), the Declaration of Dr. Matthew A. Bishop in Support of Fortinet's Opposition	
5	and exhibits thereto ("Bishop Declaration"), and Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Stefani E.	
6	Shanberg in Support of Fortinet's Opposition ("Confidential Exhibit") pursuant to Civil Local	
7	Rules 7-11 and 79-5(c) and good cause appearing:	
8	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Fortinet's administrative motion is GRANTED.	
9	Accordingly, the Bishop Declaration, Confidential Exhibit, and the following portions of	
10	Fortinet's Opposition are filed under seal:	
11	a) TOC i.13-22;	
12	<ul> <li>b) 1:8-14 (following "Motion at 7 (emphasis in original)" through " Beyond the overwhelming evidence");</li> </ul>	
13	c) 9:6-12:2;	
14	d) 12:7-8;	
15	e) 12:12-13:4;	
16	f) 13:14-16:1;	
17	g) 16:3-16;	
18 19	<ul> <li>h) 18:14-21 (following "PAN's supplemental production. Id. paragraph 19" through " Details regarding further discovery");</li> </ul>	
20	i) 19:14-28 (following "Contrary to PAN's representations" through " For the foregoing reasons": including the footnote); and	
21	<ul><li>foregoing reasons"; including the footnote); and</li><li>j) 20:27-28</li></ul>	
22	j) 20:27-28	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED	
24	Rubbert	
25	Dated: June 30, 2010 Konald M. Whyte	
26	The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte United States District Court Judge	
27		
28		
	ORDER GRANTING - 1 - ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION CASE NO.: 09-CV-00036-RMW (PVT)	

1	Presented by:
2	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
3	/s/ Stefani E. Shanberg
4	<u>/s/ Stefani E. Shanberg</u> Stefani E. Shanberg
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant FORTINET, INC.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	ORDER GRANTING ADMINISTRATIVE -2- MOTION CASE NO.: 09-CV-00036-RMW (PVT)