

1 Having considered Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant Fortinet, Inc.'s administrative
2 motion to file under seal portions of Fortinet's Opposition to Palo Alto Networks, Inc.'s ("PAN")
3 Motion for Summary Judgment of Noninfringement Regarding the '125 and '311 Patents
4 ("Opposition"), the Declaration of Dr. Matthew A. Bishop in Support of Fortinet's Opposition
5 and exhibits thereto ("Bishop Declaration"), and Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Stefani E.
6 Shanberg in Support of Fortinet's Opposition ("Confidential Exhibit") pursuant to Civil Local
7 Rules 7-11 and 79-5(c) and good cause appearing:

8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Fortinet's administrative motion is GRANTED.

9 Accordingly, the Bishop Declaration, Confidential Exhibit, and the following portions of
10 Fortinet's Opposition are filed under seal:

- 11 a) TOC i.13-22;
- 12 b) 1:8-14 (following "Motion at 7 (emphasis in original) . . ." through ". . . Beyond
13 the overwhelming evidence");
- 14 c) 9:6-12:2;
- 15 d) 12:7-8;
- 16 e) 12:12-13:4;
- 17 f) 13:14-16:1;
- 18 g) 16:3-16;
- 19 h) 18:14-21 (following "PAN's supplemental production. Id. paragraph 19. . ." through ". . . Details regarding further discovery");
- 20 i) 19:14-28 (following "Contrary to PAN's representations . . ." through ". . . For the
21 foregoing reasons"; including the footnote); and
- 22 j) 20:27-28

23 IT IS SO ORDERED

24
25 Dated: June 30, 2010


26 The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte
27 United States District Court Judge
28

1 Presented by:

2 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI

3 /s/ Stefani E. Shanberg
4 Stefani E. Shanberg

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant
6 FORTINET, INC.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28