

1 MICHAEL A. LADRA, State Bar No. 64037
 Email: mladra@wsgr.com
 2 JAMES C. YOON, State Bar No. 177155
 Email: jyoona@wsgr.com
 3 STEFANI E. SHANBERG, State Bar No. 206717
 Email: sshanberg@wsgr.com
 4 ARIANA M. CHUNG-HAN (State Bar No. 197572)
 Email: achung@wsgr.com
 5 ROBIN L. BREWER, State Bar No. 253686
 Email: rbrewer@wsgr.com
 6 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
 Professional Corporation
 7 650 Page Mill Road
 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
 8 Telephone: (650) 493-9300
 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant
 10 FORTINET, INC.

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 12 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 13 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

14 FORTINET, INC., a Delaware corporation,)	CASE NO.: 09-CV-00036-RMW (PVT)
)	
15 Plaintiff,)	ORDER GRANTING
)	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
16 v.)	FILE DOCUMENTS AND PORTIONS
)	OF DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL
17 PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC., and)	PERTAINING TO FORTINET INC.'S
18 PATRICK R. BROGAN,)	OPPOSITION TO PALO ALTO
)	NETWORKS, INC.'S MOTION FOR
19 Defendants.)	SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF
)	NONINFRINGEMENT REGARDING
)	THE '990 PATENT
)	
)	
)	
22 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS)	
)	

23 _____
 24 _____
 25 _____
 26 _____
 27 _____
 28 _____

1 Having considered Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant Fortinet, Inc.'s administrative
2 motion to file under seal portions of Fortinet's Opposition to Palo Alto Networks, Inc.'s ("PAN")
3 Motion for Summary Judgment of Noninfringement Regarding the '990 Patent ("Opposition"),
4 the Declaration of Dr. Matthew A. Bishop in Support of Fortinet's Opposition and exhibits
5 thereto ("Bishop Declaration"), and Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Stefani E. Shanberg in
6 Support of Fortinet's Opposition ("Confidential Exhibit") pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and
7 79-5(c) and good cause appearing:

8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Fortinet's administrative motion is GRANTED.

9 Accordingly, the Bishop Declaration, Confidential Exhibit, and the following portions of
10 Fortinet's Opposition are filed under seal:

- 11 a) TOC i.21-24;
- 12 b) 1:10-14 (following "During this deposition . . ." through ". . . This testimony");
- 13 c) 9:11-11:21;
- 14 d) 12:22-13:17;
- 15 e) 13:24-25 (following "PAN firewalls (1) . . ." through ". . .To advance its theory");
- 16 f) 14:1-3;
- 17 g) 14:6-20 (following "Mr. Zuk testified . . ." through ". . .Because Mr. Zuk's
18 deposition testimony");
- 19 h) 14:22 (following "PAN's motion that . . ." through ". . .PAN's motion");
- 20 i) 14:27-15:11 (following "because it . . ." through ". . .Id. at 41:14-21");
- 21 j) 15:14-17:2;
- 22 k) 18:10-12 (following "as discussed . . ." through ". . .Details regarding further");
and
- 23 l) 18:26-28 (following "many relevant aspects of the . . ." through end of the
24 footnote)

25 IT IS SO ORDERED

26
27 Dated: June 30, 2010


The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte
United States District Court Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Presented by:

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI

/s/ Stefani E. Shanberg
Stefani E. Shanberg

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant
FORTINET, INC.