

1 MICHAEL A. LADRA, State Bar No. 64037
 Email: mladra@wsgr.com
 2 JAMES C. YOON, State Bar No. 177155
 Email: jyoona@wsgr.com
 3 STEFANI E. SHANBERG, State Bar No. 206717
 Email: sshanberg@wsgr.com
 4 ARIANA M. CHUNG-HAN (State Bar No. 197572)
 Email: achung@wsgr.com
 5 ROBIN L. BREWER, State Bar No. 253686
 Email: rbrewer@wsgr.com
 6 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
 Professional Corporation
 7 650 Page Mill Road
 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
 8 Telephone: (650) 493-9300
 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant
 10 FORTINET, INC.

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 12 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 13 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

<p>14 FORTINET, INC., a Delaware corporation,</p> <p>15 Plaintiff,</p> <p>16 v.</p> <p>17 PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC., and</p> <p>18 PATRICK R. BROGAN,</p> <p>19 Defendants.</p> <hr/> <p>22 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS</p> <hr/>	<p>) CASE NO.: 09-CV-00036-RMW (PVT)</p> <p>)</p> <p>) ORDER GRANTING</p> <p>) ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO</p> <p>) FILE DOCUMENTS AND PORTIONS</p> <p>) OF DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL</p> <p>) PERTAINING TO FORTINET, INC.'S</p> <p>) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF</p> <p>) OBJECTIONS AND MOTION TO</p> <p>) STRIKE NEW EVIDENCE AND NEW</p> <p>) ARGUMENTS PRESENTED IN PALO</p> <p>) ALTO NETWORKS, INC.'S REPLY</p> <p>) MEMORANDA IN SUPPORT OF</p> <p>) MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY</p> <p>) JUDGMENT</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p>
--	--

1 Having considered Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant Fortinet, Inc.'s administrative
2 motion to file under seal portions of Fortinet's Reply in Support of Objections and Motion to
3 Strike New Evidence and New Arguments Presented in Palo Alto Networks, Inc.'s ("PAN")
4 Reply Memoranda in Support of Motions for Summary Judgment ("Reply") pursuant to Civil
5 Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(c), and good cause appearing:


6 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Fortinet's administrative motion is GRANTED.

7 Accordingly, the following portions of Fortinet's Reply shall be filed under seal:

- 8 a) 2:7-9 (following "admit that . . ." through end of sentence);
- 9 b) 2:11 (following "including that . . ." through ". . . and that");
- 10 c) 2:11-12 (following "and that . . ." through ". . . is not");
- 11 d) 2:14 (following "interconnectivity of . . ." through ". . . Similarly");
- 12 e) 2:15 (following "'allocation' in . . ." through end of line);
- 13 f) 2:17-18 (following "reply brief that . . ." through ". . . and that").

14 IT IS SO ORDERED

15
16 Dated: July 19, 2010



The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte
United States District Court Judge

17
18
19 Presented by:
20 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI

21 /s/ Stefani E. Shanberg
22 Stefani E. Shanberg

23 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant
24 FORTINET, INC.