

1 Andrew Leibnitz (State Bar No. 184723)
 aleibnitz@fbm.com
 2 Brian J. Keating (State Bar No. 167951)
 bkeating@fbm.com
 3 Megan W. Howard (State Bar No. 200759)
 mhoward@fbm.com
 4 Farella Braun & Martel LLP
 235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor
 5 San Francisco, CA 94104
 Telephone: (415) 954-4400
 6 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480

E-FILED - 8/11/09

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff
8 FORTINET, INC.

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12 FORTINET, INC.,
 13 Plaintiff,
 14 vs.
 15 PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. and
 PATRICK R. BROGAN,
 16 Defendants.

Case No. CV 09-00036 RMW

**STIPULATION AND []
ORDER TO AMEND COMPLAINT**

Dept.: Courtroom 6, 4th Floor
Judge: Honorable Ronald M. Whyte

Complaint Filed: January 8, 2009
Trial Date: None set

17
18 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.

19
20 WHEREAS plaintiff Fortinet, Inc. ("Fortinet") is the assignee of U.S. Patent No.
21 7,519,990, issued April 21, 2009, after the Complaint in this suit was first filed;

22 WHEREAS defendant Palo Alto Networks, Inc. ("PAN") has recently acquired U.S.
23 Patent No. 6,912,272; and

24 WHEREAS the parties desire to amend their Complaint and Counterclaims respectively
25 solely to assert these patents;

26 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties, through their respective
27 counsel undersigned, that:

28

1 (1) Fortinet shall have leave to file a First Amended Complaint pursuant to Federal
2 Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2) to assert the '990 Patent. Fortinet shall file its First Amended
3 Complaint within five days of entry of this Order.

4 (2) Within 10 days of filing of Fortinet's First Amended Complaint, PAN shall file its
5 Answer to Fortinet's First Amended Complaint and Supplemental Counterclaims to assert the
6 '272 Patent.

7 (3) The Case Management Conference, currently set for August 21, 2009 at 10:30
8 a.m., is continued to October 9, 2009 at 10:30 a.m. The parties shall meet and confer pursuant to
9 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) by September 18, 2009 and file a Case Management
10 Statement and complete initial disclosures or state objection in Rule 26(f) report by October 2,
11 2009.

12
13 Dated: August 10, 2009

FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL LLP

14

15

By: /s/ Roderick M. Thompson
Roderick M. Thompson

16

17

Attorneys for Plaintiff
FORTINET, INC.

18

Dated: August 10, 2009

DURIE TANGRI LLP

19

20

By: /s/ Ragesh Tangri
Ragesh Tangri

21

22

Attorneys for Defendants
PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. AND
PATRICK R. BROGAN

23

24

25

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45

26

I, Roderick M. Thompson, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been
27 obtained from the other signatories. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the

28

1 United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 11th day of August,
2 2009 at San Francisco, California.

3 Dated: August 10, 2009

FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL LLP

4

5

By: /s/ Roderick M. Thompson
Roderick M. Thompson

6

7

Attorneys for Plaintiff
FORTINET, INC.

8

□ ORDER

9

Upon stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS SO ORDERED.

10

11

Dated: 8/11, 2009



12

Hon. Ronald M. Whyte
United States District Judge

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28