Hall v. Tehra	ani et al		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	RICHARD GARY HALL, JR.,	No. C 09-0057 RMW (PR)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING ORDER DENYING	
13	V.) PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR) RECONSIDERATION	
14	JASMINE A. TEHRANI, et al.,	(Docket Nos. 212, 214, 217)	
15	Defendants.))	
16))	
17	Plaintiff a prisoner proceeding pro se	e, filed a civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C.	
18	§ 1983. On March 29, 2013, the court granted defendants' motion for summary judgment. On		
19			
20			
21	Rule 59(e) or Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.		
22			
23	relief under Rule 60(b). See McDowell v. Calderon, 197 F.3d 1253, 1255 n.3 (9th Cir. 1999)		
24	(citation omitted) (en banc). A motion for reconsideration under Rule 59(e) "should not be		
25	granted, absent highly unusual circumstances, unless the district court is presented with newly		
26	discovered evidence, committed clear error, or if there is an intervening change in the law." <u>Id.</u>		
	at 1255 (citation omitted). A district court does not commit clear error warranting		
28			
	Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration		
	G:\PRO-SE\RMW\CR.09\Hall057recon.wpd	Dooleo	

Doc. 219

1 reconsideration when the question before it is a debatable one. See id. at 1256. 2 Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides for reconsideration where 3 one or more of the following is shown: (1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise or excusable neglect; (2) newly discovered evidence that by due diligence could not have been discovered before the 4 5 court's decision; (3) fraud by the adverse party; (4) voiding of the judgment; (5) satisfaction of the judgment; (6) any other reason justifying relief. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b); School Dist. 1J v. 6 7 ACandS Inc., 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993). Although couched in broad terms, subparagraph (6) requires a showing that the grounds justifying relief are extraordinary. 8 Twentieth Century - Fox Film Corp. v. Dunnahoo, 637 F.2d 1338, 1341 (9th Cir. 1981). 10 Finally, motions for reconsideration should not be frequently made or freely granted; 11 they are not a substitute for appeal or a means of attacking some perceived error of the court. See Twentieth Century - Fox Film Corp. v. Dunnahoo, 637 F.2d 1338, 1341 (9th Cir. 1981). 12 13 "[T]he major grounds that justify reconsideration involve an intervening change of controlling law, the availability of new evidence, or the need to correct a clear error or prevent manifest 14 15 injustice." Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe of Indians v. Hodel, 882 F.2d 364, 369 n.5 (9th Cir. 1989) 16 (citation omitted). 17 Plaintiff alleges, inter alia, that the court's ruling was erroneous for a variety of reasons. 18 Nonetheless, the court finds no grounds that warrant reconsideration. Plaintiff's motion is 19 DENIED. 20 Plaintiff's motion request the court verify disposition of plaintiff's motion for 21 reconsideration is GRANTED. (Doc. No. 214.) Plaintiff's motion requesting that the court 22 order plaintiff's deadline for filing a notice of appeal be tolled is GRANTED to the extent that 23 plaintiff's motion does not conflict with Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(4)(A)(v) and 24 4(a)(4)(A)(vi). (Doc. No. 217.) No further filings will be considered in this closed case. 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. d M. Whyte 26 DATED:

27

28

United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD G HALL,	Case Number: CV09-00057 RMW
Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
v.	
JASMINE A TEHRAN et al,	
Defendant.	/

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on November 27, 2013, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Richard Gary Hall C-07278 Correctional Training Facility P.O. Box 689 YW-343up Soledad, CA 93960-0689

Dated: November 27, 2013

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Jackie Lynn Garcia, Deputy Clerk