Brahmana v. Lembo et al Doc. 290 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION 11 12 Case No.: C 09-0106 PVT METTEYYA BRAHMANA, 13 Plaintiff, **ORDER SOLICITING FURTHER BRIEFING** REGARDING EXHAUSTION OF 14 ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES; AND v. FURTHER CONTINUING TRIAL SETTING 15 PHILIP CHARLES LEMBO, et al., CONFERENCE 16 Defendants. 17 18 Currently pending before the court are Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Counts 1-5, 7 and 9 of 19 Plaintiff's Fifth Amended Complaint (docket no. 189); and Defendants' Motion for Summary 20 Judgment as to Counts 1-5, 7 and 9 of Plaintiff's Fifth Amended Complaint (docket no. 247). 21 Having further reviewed the parties' briefs and the file herein, the court finds it appropriate to solicit 22 further briefing on the issue of exhaustion of remedies. Therefore, 23 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, no later than November 30, 2010, the parties shall submit 24 supplemental briefs addressing the timeliness of Plaintiff's submissions to the United States Equal 25 Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") in light of the joint filing agreement between the EEOC and the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH"). See Green v. 26 Los Angeles County Superintendent of Schools, 883 F.2d 1472, 1476 (9th Cir. 1989) (noting that 27 28 worksharing agreement between EEOC and DFEH provided that each agency was the agent of the Order, page 1

A copy of this handbook is available at ag.ca.gov/civilrights/pdf/01cr_handbook.pdf.

While this unpublished case is not binding precedent, it may be cited pursuant to CTA9 Rule 36-3(b).