1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
/ 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
0 9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9 10	SAN JOSE DIVISION
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION
11	METTEYYA BRAHMANA, ) Case No.: C 09-00106 PSG
12	Plaintiff, ) ORDER DENYING
14	v. () ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL
15	) (Re: Docket No. 265)
16	CYBERDATA CORP., NUMONIX INC., ) CONQUEST TECHNOLOGY LTD., ) CAMERON BARFIELD, )
17	)
18	Defendants.
19	On June 8, 2010, Plaintiff Metteyya Brahmana ("Brahmana") filed an administrative
20	motion to file under seal Exhibit A, B, and C video depositions as exhibits to Brahmana's
21	opposition to a then-pending motion for summary judgment. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 79-5,
22	Defendants Phillip Charles Lembo, CyberData Corp., Numonix Inc., Conquest Technology
23	Limited, and Cameron Barfield ("Defendants") had to file with the court and serve a declaration
24	establishing that Exhibits A, B, and C are sealable, or to withdraw the designation of
25	confidentiality. Defendants did not file or serve any such declaration. <sup>1</sup> Accordingly, the court
26	
27	<sup>1</sup> The court notes that on May 21, 2010, Defendants filed a statement of non-opposition to
28	unsealing deposition videos pertaining to a separate deposition and separate administrative motion to seal, and therein objected generally to the introduction of videotaped testimony without the use of a written transcript. <i>See</i> Docket No. 245.

ORDER, page 1

1	hereby DENIES the administrative motion to file under seal Exhibits A, B, and C to Brahmana's
2	opposition to Defendant's motion for summary judgment.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	Dated: 9/22/2011
5	
6	Pore S. Apere PAUL S. GREWAL United States Magistrate Judge
7	Officer States Magistrate Studge
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
24	
23 26	
20	
28	
-	
	$O_{\text{DDED}}$ name 2