1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION
12	DESIETA DIVINO, et al.,) Case No.: C 09-193 PVT
13	Plaintiffs, ORDER OF DISMISSAL
14	V.) I FILMANI DDOTHEDO DANIZ ECD. (4.1)
15	LEHMAN BROTHERS BANK, FSB, et al.,) Defendants.
16)
17	On April 13, 2009, defendants' motion to dismiss was granted with leave to amend. ("April
18	13, 2009 Order"). Plaintiffs failed to amend the complaint by the April 24, 2009 deadline set forth
19	in the April 13, 2009 Order. On April 28, 2009, the court issued an order to show cause why the
20 21	case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. The order to show cause set forth a response
22	date of May 8, 2009. Plaintiffs did not respond whatsoever. Accordingly,
23	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the above-captioned action is dismissed pursuant to Rule
24	41(b). Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
25	Dated: May 18, 2009 Patricia V. Frumbull
26	PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL
27	United States Magistrate Judge
28	The holding of this court is limited to the facts and particular circumstances underlying
	the present motion. ORDER, $page\ 1$
	ONDER, page 1