

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

MYRRA MAY,

CASE NO. 5:09-cv-00459 EJD

Plaintiff(s),

**ORDER CONTINUING PRELIMINARY
PRETRIAL CONFERENCE**

v.

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BROKERAGE
HOLDINGS, INC., et. al.,

Defendant(s).

Having reviewed the parties' Preliminary Pretrial Conference Statements (see Docket Item Nos. 142, 146), the court has determined that a pretrial conference is premature at this time. Accordingly, the Preliminary Pretrial Conference scheduled for September 13, 2013, is CONTINUED to **January 24, 2014, at 11:00 a.m.** The parties shall file an updated Joint Preliminary Pretrial Conference Statement on or before **January 14, 2014.**¹

In addition, the parties shall comply with the following orders:

1. Since Defendants consent to Plaintiff's request to reopen discovery, the parties shall file a Stipulation and Proposed Order on or before **September 20, 2013**, which provides for such relief and details the scope of any additional discovery that may be undertaken.


¹ The court emphasizes that these types of statements should be *joint* submissions - not separate submissions.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2. On or before **September 16, 2013**, the parties shall contact Judge Grewal's courtroom deputy and shall arrange for an additional settlement conference, which shall occur no later than 90 days from the date this order is filed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 10, 2013


EDWARD J. DAVILA
United States District Judge