Custodio et al v. The County of Santa Clara, California et al

Doc. 64

1		Respectfully submitted,
2	Dated: May 3, 2012	LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL REISER
3		
4		By: /s/ Michael Reiser Michael J. Reiser
5 6		Attorneys for Plaintiffs MARLO CUSTODIO
7	Dated: May 3, 2012	CITY OF SAN JOSE
8		CITT OF BANJOSE
9		By: /s/ Richard North
10		Attorneys for Defendants
11	ATTESTMENT OF CONCURRENCE PER GENERAL ORDER 45 FOR FILING:	
12	I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document by the signatories, Richard D. North	
13	and Michael Reiser, has been obtained, and that a record of the concurrence shall be maintained at	
14	the Law Office of Michael Reiser.	1
15		1/1/1/1
16	Dated: May 3, 2012 By/M	ichael Reiser
17	,	
18 19	The Court having considered the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing therefor,	
	orders as follows:	
20	1. The action is dismissed with prejudice as against all Defendants pursuant to FRCP 41(a)(1)(A).	
21	2. Each party shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	R
23	Dated:	Monald M. Whyte Honorable Ronald M. Whyte
2425		Senior District Judge
26		Northern District of California
27		
28	2	
	Stipulation of Dismissal and [Proposed] Order	C09-00527 RMV

C09-00527 RMW