

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

YOSHI KATO, et al.

CASE NO. C09-00616 JF

Plaintiff(s),

v.

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

HAWKER BEECHCRAFT CORP., et al.

Defendant(s).

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)

✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

Private Process:


Private ADR (please identify process and provider) _____

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

✓ the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)

other requested deadline _____

Dated: 7/21/09



Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

Dated: _____

SEE ATTACHED SIGNATURES

Attorney for Defendant

SIGNATURE ATTACHMENT RE:
STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Yoshi Kato, et al. v. Hawker Beechcraft Corp., et al.
USDC Case No.: C09-00616 JF

Dated: July 21, 2009

SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN
& ARNOLD LLP

And

MARTIN, PRINGLE, OLIVER,
WALLACE & BAUER LLP

By: 

Gregory C. Read
Attorney for Defendant HAWKER
BEECHCRAFT CORPORATION, fka
Raytheon Aircraft Company and
Beach Aircraft

Dated: July _____, 2009


THE RYAN LAW GROUP

By: _____

Timothy J. Ryan
Attorneys for Defendant LYCOMING
ENGINES

Dated: July 22, 2009

KERN AND WOOLEY OOP

By: 

Peter T. Kirchen
Attorneys for Defendant CALIFORNIA
IN NICE, INC. dba NICE AIR

**SIGNATURE ATTACHMENT RE:
STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS**

Yoshi Kato, et al. v. Hawker Beechcraft Corp., et al.
USDC Case No.: C09-00616 JF

Dated: July ____, 2009

SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN
& ARNOLD LLP

And

MARTIN, PRINGLE, OLIVER,
WALLACE & BAUER LLP

By: _____
Gregory C. Read
Attorney for Defendant HAWKER
BEECHCRAFT CORPORATION, fka
Raytheon Aircraft Company and
Beach Aircraft

Dated: July 22, 2009

THE RYAN LAW GROUP

By:  _____
Timothy J. Ryan
Attorneys for Defendant LYCOMING
ENGINES

Dated: July ____, 2009

KERN AND WOOLEY OOP

By: _____
Peter T. Kirchen
Attorneys for Defendant CALIFORNIA
IN NICE, INC. dba NICE AIR

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 7/30/09



UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
DISTRICT