Doc. 25

Case No. C 09-629 JF (HRL)

jurisdiction over the complaint. That motion is set for hearing on April 17, 2009.

For reasons of judicial economy, the Court will consider Plaintiff's arguments regarding the propriety of subject matter jurisdiction in the context of Defendants' motion to dismiss. The Court therefore will deny Plaintiff's motion for leave to file a separate motion for reconsideration, but directs Plaintiff to present all his arguments on the issue of subject matter jurisdiction in opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss. The Court will continue the April 10 show cause hearing to April 17, to be heard with Defendants' motion to dismiss.

## **ORDER**

- (1) Plaintiff's motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration is DENIED, and Plaintiff instead is directed to present his arguments regarding subject matter jurisdiction in an opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss, as discussed above.
- (2) The show cause hearing set for April 10, 2009 is CONTINUED to April 17, 2009 at 9:00, to be heard with Defendants' motion to dismiss.

DATED: 3/5/09

JEREMY FOCEL
United States I istrict Judge

1	This Order was served upon the following persons:
2	
3	Plaintiff pro se:
4	Reginald P. Burgess
5	Reginald P. Burgess 1339 E. Katella Ave, #164 Orange, CA 92867-5204
6	Andrew Terry Caulfield
7	Holland & Knight LLP 50 California Street . Suite 2800
8	Andrew Terry Caulfield Holland & Knight LLP 50 California Street, Suite 2800 San Francisco, CA 94111 Email: andrew.caulfield@hklaw.com
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	
26	
27	
28	
	3
	Case No. C 09-629 JF (HRL)