1	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300	K&L GATES LLP 925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2900	
2	Seattle, Washington 98101	Seattle, Washington 98104	
3	Telephone: 206.623-7292 Facsimile: 206.623-0594	Telephone: 206.623.7580 Facsimile: 206.623.7022	
4	Steve W. Berman (<i>pro hac vice</i>) steve@hbsslaw.com	Todd L. Nunn (<i>pro hac vice</i>) todd.nunn@klgates.com	
5	Tyler S. Weaver (<i>pro hac vice</i>) tyler@hbsslaw.com	Patrick J. Perrone (<i>pro hac vice</i>) patrick.perrone@klgates.com	
6 7	Robert F. Lopez (<i>pro hac vice</i>) robl@hbsslaw.com	Attorneys for Defendant Trex Company, Inc.	
8	Attorneys for Select Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class		
9	LINITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
12			
13	DEAN MAHAN, GRETCHEN SILVERMAN, J. STEPHEN TISDALE, STEVEN MCKENNA, THOMAS SCHAUPPNER, MARIORIE		
14	THOMAS SCHAUPPNER, MARJORIE ZACHWIEJA, JOHN FORCELLA, SHEILA	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] SCHEDULING ORDER	
15	SHAPIRO, SABRINA W. HASS and DR. LANNY W. HASS, AMY BIONDI-HUFFMAN, and PRIAN HATHAWAY, on babalf of		
16	and BRIAN HATHAWAY, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,		
17	Plaintiffs,		
18	VS.		
19	TREX COMPANY, INC., a Delaware corporation,		
20	Defendant.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		OSED] SCHEDULING ORDER V 09-00670-JF	
	010095-13 428954 V1		
		Dockets.Justia.com	

17

1

2

3

4

The parties present the following scheduling order for entry by the Court. They also ask respectfully that the Court take note of the following issues raised by the Court's order of December 13, 2010, which it issued following the telephonic case management conference held on December 10, 2010:

First: The parties ask that the Court take note of the dates regarding plaintiffs' planned motion for class certification. The parties' understanding is that the Court, at the telephonic hearing on December 10, 2010, adopted their agreed-upon deadline for the filing of the motion for class certification. That agreed-upon deadline is October 28, 2011, as specified in the parties' joint Rule 26(f) report. In addition, the parties agreed on deadlines for filing opposition and reply briefing and proposed them for November 30, 2011, and December 15, 2011, respectively. Those dates also are specified in the parties' joint Rule 26(f) report. The parties note, however, that the Court's December 13, 2010 order specifies the date for hearing plaintiffs' motion for class certification as April 29, 2011. (April 29, 2011, appears in the joint Rule 26(f) report as the date that defendant proposed for the deadline to amend pleadings, so it appears that there may have been an inadvertent error in the written order of December 13, 2011.) In the event the Court agrees with the parties' understanding of its ruling as to class-certification-related deadlines, they have inserted their agreed dates into the proposed schedule below.

Second: The parties ask that the Court take note of the deadline to amend pleadings. The 18 Court's order of December 13, 2010, provides that the Court has adopted the defendant's proposed 19 case schedule as recited. Defendant's proposed case schedule designated the deadline to amend 20 21 pleadings as April 29, 2011. But at the December 10, 2010 telephonic conference, the plaintiffs asked if the Court would instead order a deadline midway between defendant's proposed April 29, 22 2011 date and plaintiffs' proposed date of August 31, 2011. The Court agreed; accordingly, the 23 parties have placed the deadline to amend pleadings at June 30, 2011, in the proposed schedule 24 25 below.

26 Third: As for dates following class certification, the Court's order of December 13, 2011, provides that "[t]he Court adopts defendant's proposed schedule as recited." It is defendant's 27 28 understanding, however, that at the case management conference on December 10, 2010, the Court

deferred setting later dates on the calendar until plaintiff's motion on class certification is decided. While plaintiffs do not share this understanding, they are concerned that if the Court orders a December 15, 2011 deadline for the filing of any reply to their motion for class certification, then, under defendant's schedule as initially proposed, the deadline to complete briefing on dispositive motions would not be until sometime in mid-2013 (16 months following issuance of an order on plaintiffs' motion for class certification, per defendant's proposal), with any trial to follow sometime thereafter. The plaintiffs do not believe that this was what the Court intended, especially in light of the reference in its December 13, 2010 order to an April 29, 2011 hearing date for plaintiffs' motion for class certification.

Accordingly, the parties propose the following schedule for entry by the Court. As for setting other dates on the schedule, the parties ask for the Court's guidance and stand prepared to submit a fuller order upon the Court's direction:

Initial Disclosures:	February 18, 2011 (already exchanged)
Deadline to amend pleadings:	June 30, 2011
Motion for class certification:	October 28, 2011
Opposition to class certification:	November 30, 2011, with a reservation the right to seek an extension of this deadline if needed to conduct discovery issues raised in the Motion for Class Certification
Reply in support of class certification:	December 15, 2011, with potential extension if Opposition date is extended
Hearing on motion for class certification	January 13, 2012

1			
2	Dated: March 4, 2011.		
3		K&L GATES LLP	
4		K&L GATES LLP	
5	Den	(a) Detrick I. Demone (non ameil with arizetion)	
6	By:	/s/ Patrick J. Perrone (per email authorization) Todd L. Nunn	
7		Patrick J. Perrone 925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2900	
8		Seattle, WA 98104 Telephone: 206.623-7580	
9		Facsimile: 206.623-7022	
10		Attorneys for Defendant Trex Company	
11		HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP	
12			
13	By:	/s/ Robert F. Lopez	
14		Steve W. Berman (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Tyler S. Weaver (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	
15		Robert F. Lopez, (<i>pro hac vice</i>) 1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300	
16		Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-7292	
17		Facsimile: (206) 623-0594	
18		Attorneys for Select Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class	
19			
20	Concerci Orden 45. Dort V.D. the filer ett	ests that as a summary in the filing of this document	
21	General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this document		
22	has been obtained from the above-referenced counsel.		
23	So Ordered.		
24 25		8 W	
23 26		Honorable Jeremy Fogel United States District Judge	
20 27		3/14/11	
27		Dated	
20	STIDIII ATIONI AND IDDA	3 POSEDI SCHEDULING ORDER	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] SCHEDULING ORDER Case No. CV 09-00670-JF		
	010095-13 428954 V1		

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I hereby certify that on March 4, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of		
3	the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to all attorneys of		
4	record via the CM/ECF system.		
5	<u>/s/ Robert F. Lopez</u> Robert F. Lopez HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP		
6	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300		
7	Seattle, WA 98101		
8	Telephone: (206) 623-7292 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	4 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] SCHEDULING ORDER		
	Case No. CV 09-00670-JF 010095-13 428954 V1		