17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		*E-FILED 06-02-2011*
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	NOT FOR CITATION	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11	SYNTHES USA, LLC (f/k/a SYNTHES	No. C09-01201 RMW (HRL)
12	(U.S.A.)); SYNTHES USA SALES, LLC; and SYNTHES, INC., Plaintiffs,	INTERIM ORDER RE DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE
13		
14	v.	
15	SPINAL KINETICS, INC.,	
16	Defendant.	

Defendant moves for a continuance of the deadlines set in this court's May 13, 2011 Interim Order re Defendant's Motion to Compel an In Camera Inspection of Documents (Docket No. 208). Despite the fact that the activities directed in the May 13 Order were to have been completed by June 3, 2011, defendant noticed its motion for continuance for a July 26, 2011 hearing, apparently contemplating that the subject deadlines would be extended for weeks (or even months) after the original dates. This court sees no need for a regular briefing schedule or formal hearing on the requested continuance, and the July 26 hearing is vacated. And, as a practical matter, the court-ordered deadlines that are the subject of defendant's motion have all but passed. Having reviewed defendant's motion for a continuance, this court will grant a brief extension of the meet-and-confer and joint report deadlines set in the May 13 Order. This court is disinclined to grant more than a brief extension, and will not entertain requests to re-set

For the Northern District of California

deadlines into July or August.

No later than Monday, June 6, 2011, the parties shall file statements advising this court when, in the next three weeks, their lead counsel (and anyone else whose presence is needed to fully explore resolution) are available to meet-and-confer. The deadline for the previously ordered joint status report will be re-set by the court, likely to a date within 7 days after the re-set meet-and-confer deadline.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 2, 2011

1	Allan William Jansen jansena@dicksteinshapiro.com, johnsonk@dicksteinshapiro.com, OCLitigationDocketing@dicksteinshapiro.com	
2		
3	Andre De La Cruz adelacruz@orrick.com, jdavis@orrick.com	
4	Daniel E. Gustafson dgustafson@gustafsongluek.com, sdensmore@gustafsongluek.com	
5 6	Ehab Monsef Samuel samuele@dicksteinshapiro.com, fulmerl@dicksteinshapiro.com, OC_Litigation_Docketing@dicksteinshapiro.com	
7 8	menesesy@dicksteinshapiro.com, OC_Litigation_Docketing@dicksteinshapiro.com	
9	Jeffrey Martin Olson jolson@sidley.com, aprado@sidley.com, ngregg@sidley.com	
10	Kurt Timothy Mulville kmulville@orrick.com, jdavis@orrick.com	
10	Matthew Spencer Jorgenson mjorgenson@sidley.com	
12	Monte M.F. Cooper mcooper@orrick.com, adalton@orrick.com, mortiz@orrick.com	
13	Paul Howard Meier mgutierrez@sidley.com	
13	Robert W. Dickerson rdickerson@orrick.com	
15	Robert William Dickerson DickersonR@dicksteinshapiro.com, murphyp@dicksteinshapiro.com	
16	Samuel N. Tiu stiu@sidley.com	
17	Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel who have not	
18	registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		