CCHOIN	cs corporation v. Apple, inc.	D00. 2
1	YITAI HU (SBN 248085)	
2	yitai.hu@alston.com SEAN P. DEBRUINE (SBN 168071)	
3	sean.debruine@alston.com ELIZABETH H. RADER (SBN 184963)	
4	elizabeth.rader@alston.com JANE HAN BU (SBN 240081)	
5	jane.bu@alston.com JENNIFER LIU (SBN 268990)	
6	celine.liu@alston.com PALANI P. RATHINASAMY (SBN 269852)	
7	palani.rathinasamy@alston.com ALSTON & BIRD LLP	
8	275 Middlefield Road, Suite 150 Menlo Park, CA 94025-4008	
9	Telephone: 650-838-2000 Facsimile: 650-838-2001	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant ELAN MICROELECTRONICS	
11	CORPORATION	
12		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	SAN FRANCISO DIVISION	
16		
17	ELAN MICROELECTRONICS	Case No. 5:09-cv-01531 RS (PSG)
18	CORPORATION,	DECLARATION OF SEAN P.
19	Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,	DEBRUINE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF ELAN
20	V.	MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION'S MOTION TO
21	APPLE, INC.,	COMPEL APPLE, INC. TO PRODUCE TESTING TOOL
22	Defendant and Counterplaintiff.	[PUBLIC VERSION]
23		
24	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS	
2526		
27		
28		
20	DEGL OF SEAN D. DEBRUINE ISO ELANGS MOT. TO COMPE	
	DECL. OF SEAN P. DEBRUINE ISO ELAN'S MOT. TO COMPEL TESTING TOOL	1 Case No. 5:09-cv-01531 RS (PSG)

3.

I, Sean P. DeBruine, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a partner in the law firm of Alston & Bird LLP, counsel to Plaintiff Elan Microelectronics Corporation ("Elan") in this action. I have personal knowledge of the following facts and, if called to testify, I could and would testify competently to the matters stated herein.
- 2. On May 11, 2011 I visited the offices of Weil, Gotshal and Manges, LLP in Redwood Shores, California. Palani Rathinasamy, also an attorney at Alston & Bird, joined me in that visit. While there we were permitted to inspect and use an Apple Macbook Pro laptop computer running Apple's internal ...

When I asked whether the tool could be used to test those other products, the Weil representative supervising our inspection admitted that the laptop was the only version that was available, that he had never seen a version for the iOS products and did not believe that any such version was requested from Apple. I asked that versions for those other products be produced, and he stated that he would look into it.

4. The following week, having heard nothing from Apple about the for iOS products, I instructed Mr. Rathinasamy to follow up. Mr. Rathinasamy sent an email to Derek Walter on May 18, 2011 and I was copied on that email.

5. When Apple responded the following week stating that it was still unable to produce the tool for iOS products and refusing to allow Elan's expert to make even an initial inspection of the laptop running that tool, I requested, and the Court granted, an expedited

1	telephonic conference on this dispute. During that telephonic conference on May 24, 2011 Jared	
2	Bobrow, counsel for Apple, represented to the Court that Elan had never specifically asked to	
3	inspect the for the iOS products. Mr. Bobrow also represented, when asked by the	
4	Court, that Apple could not make the "fully available" for inspection	
5	the following day, even if ordered by the Court. Only when I explained that counsel had in its	
6	possession the laptop running the did Mr. Bobrow agree that counsel would have	
7	been able make that version of the tool available the following day.	
8	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is	
9	true and correct.	
10	Executed this 27th day of May, 2011 at Menlo Park, California.	
11		
12		
13	/a/ Com D. DoPrusino	
14	/s/ Sean P. DeBruine Sean P. DeBruine	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

3