1	YITAI HU (SBN 248085) yitai.hu@alston.com	
2	SEAN P. DEBRUINE (SBN 168071) sean.debruine@alston.com	
3	ELIZABETH H. RADER (SBN 184963) elizabeth.rader@alston.com	
4	JANE HAN BU (SBN 240081) jane.bu@alston.com	
5	JENNIFER LIU (SBN 268990) celine.liu@alston.com	
6	PALANI P. RATHINASAMY (SBN 269852)	
7	palani.rathinasamy@alston.com ALSTON & BIRD LLP	
8	275 Middlefield Road, Suite 150 Menlo Park, CA 94025-4008	
9	Telephone: 650-838-2000 Facsimile: 650-838-2001	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant ELAN MICROELECTRONICS	
11	CORPORATION	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13		
14		
15	SAN FRANCIS	SCO DIVISION
16		
16 17	ELAN MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION,	Case No. 5:09-cv-01531 RS (PSG)
		ELAN MICROELECTRONICS
17	CORPORATION, Plaintiff and Counterdefendant, v.	ELAN MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION'S REPLY TO APPLE'S OPPOSITION TO ELAN'S
17 18	CORPORATION, Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,	ELAN MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION'S REPLY TO APPLE'S OPPOSITION TO ELAN'S MOTION TO COMPEL APPLE TO SUPPLEMENT ITS RESPONSE TO
17 18 19	CORPORATION, Plaintiff and Counterdefendant, v.	ELAN MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION'S REPLY TO APPLE'S OPPOSITION TO ELAN'S MOTION TO COMPEL APPLE TO SUPPLEMENT ITS RESPONSE TO ELAN'S INTERROGATORY NO. 13
17 18 19 20	CORPORATION, Plaintiff and Counterdefendant, v. APPLE, INC.,	ELAN MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION'S REPLY TO APPLE'S OPPOSITION TO ELAN'S MOTION TO COMPEL APPLE TO SUPPLEMENT ITS RESPONSE TO ELAN'S INTERROGATORY NO. 13 Date: June 28, 2011 Time: 10:00 a.m.
17 18 19 20 21	CORPORATION, Plaintiff and Counterdefendant, v. APPLE, INC., Defendant and Counterplaintiff.	ELAN MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION'S REPLY TO APPLE'S OPPOSITION TO ELAN'S MOTION TO COMPEL APPLE TO SUPPLEMENT ITS RESPONSE TO ELAN'S INTERROGATORY NO. 13 Date: June 28, 2011
17 18 19 20 21 22	CORPORATION, Plaintiff and Counterdefendant, v. APPLE, INC., Defendant and Counterplaintiff.	ELAN MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION'S REPLY TO APPLE'S OPPOSITION TO ELAN'S MOTION TO COMPEL APPLE TO SUPPLEMENT ITS RESPONSE TO ELAN'S INTERROGATORY NO. 13 Date: June 28, 2011 Time: 10:00 a.m. Courtroom 5
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CORPORATION, Plaintiff and Counterdefendant, v. APPLE, INC., Defendant and Counterplaintiff.	ELAN MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION'S REPLY TO APPLE'S OPPOSITION TO ELAN'S MOTION TO COMPEL APPLE TO SUPPLEMENT ITS RESPONSE TO ELAN'S INTERROGATORY NO. 13 Date: June 28, 2011 Time: 10:00 a.m. Courtroom 5
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CORPORATION, Plaintiff and Counterdefendant, v. APPLE, INC., Defendant and Counterplaintiff.	ELAN MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION'S REPLY TO APPLE'S OPPOSITION TO ELAN'S MOTION TO COMPEL APPLE TO SUPPLEMENT ITS RESPONSE TO ELAN'S INTERROGATORY NO. 13 Date: June 28, 2011 Time: 10:00 a.m. Courtroom 5
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CORPORATION, Plaintiff and Counterdefendant, v. APPLE, INC., Defendant and Counterplaintiff.	ELAN MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION'S REPLY TO APPLE'S OPPOSITION TO ELAN'S MOTION TO COMPEL APPLE TO SUPPLEMENT ITS RESPONSE TO ELAN'S INTERROGATORY NO. 13 Date: June 28, 2011 Time: 10:00 a.m. Courtroom 5

ELAN'S REPLY TO APPLE'S OPP'N TO ELAN'S MOT. TO COMPEL SUPPL. RESP. TO ELAN'S INTERROGATORY NO. 13

Case No. 5:09-cv-01531 RS (PSG)

In its Opposition to Elan's Motion to Compel Apple to Supplement Its Response to Elan's 1 Interrogatory No. 13, Apple Inc. ("Apple") states that "[t]o the extent there is any correlation and 2 3 to the extent Apple is able to verify the correlation, Apple will supplement its interrogatory 4 response by June 22, 2011 to provide the information that Elan seeks. Elan's motion is thus 5 moot." [Dkt. No. 273 at 2]. Apple does not dispute that Elan is entitled to the supplemental response demanded in its motion. 6 7 Elan disagrees that Apple's motion is moot. Apple does not explain why it agreed to 8 provide this fundamental information only after Elan was forced to file this motion. In addition, 9 nowhere in its Opposition does Apple affirmatively state that it will actually supplement its 10 response. Moreover, by choosing to delay any potential supplementation until after this Reply is 11 due, Elan is prevented from addressing any further deficiencies in that supplementation. Elan therefore will await Apple's supplementation and will withdraw its motion to compel if such 12 13 supplementation is forthcoming and is sufficient. 14 Respectfully submitted, 15 DATED: June 14, 2011 **ALSTON & BIRD LLP** 16 17 /s/ Palani P. Rathinasamy By: Palani P. Rathinasamy 18 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant ELAN MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION 19 LEGAL02/32686010v1 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28