1	YITAI HU (SBN 248085)	
2	yitai.hu@alston.com SEAN P. DEBRUINE (SBN 168071)	
3	sean.debruine@alston.com ELIZABETH H. RADER (SBN 184963)	
4	elizabeth.rader@alston.com JANE HAN BU (SBN 240081)	
5	jane.bu@alston.com JENNIFER LIU (SBN 268990)	
6	celine.liu@alston.com PALANI P. RATHINASAMY (SBN 269852)	
7	palani.rathinasamy@alston.com ALSTON & BIRD LLP	
8	275 Middlefield Road, Suite 150 Menlo Park, CA 94025-4008	
9	Telephone:         650-838-2000           Facsimile:         650-838-2001	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant	
11	ELAN MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION	
12		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
16		
17	ELAN MICROELECTRONICS	Case No. 09-cv-01531 RS (PSG)
18	CORPORATION,	PLAINTIFF ELAN
19	Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,	MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION'S UNOPPOSED CIV
20	V.	L.R. 7-11 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION
21	APPLE, INC.,	FOR ENLARGEMENT OF PAGE LIMIT
22	Defendant and Counterplaintiff.	
23		
24	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	ELAN'S UNOPPOSED CIV L.R. 7-11 ADMIN. MOT. FOR ENLARGEMENT OF PAGE LIMIT	Case No. 09-cv-01531 RS (PSG)

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11, Plaintiff Elan Microelectronics Corporation ("Elan")
 respectfully request that the Court grant it leave to file its Reply to Apple, Inc.'s Opposition to
 Elan's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,825,352
 approximately two pages in excess of the fifteen-page limit imposed by Civ. L. R. 7-4. [Dkt. No.
 212].

As grounds for this motion Elan states that Apple's Opposition raises numerous new and 6 7 unanticipated claim construction arguments, and that its supporting papers included a 158 8 paragraph declaration from Apple's expert witness, Dr. Balakrishnan addressing issues not raised 9 in Elan's Motion. Elan has made every effort to present its arguments in a clear and concise 10 manner and present its arguments within fifteen pages of text. However, Elan has determined that 11 two additional pages are necessary to fully respond to the new issues raised by Apple in its 12 Opposition. Thus, good cause exists to permit a longer reply brief to allow Elan to respond to this 13 new matter so that its positions may be fully considered by the Court.

Apple's counsel informed Elan on June 16, 2011that Apple does not oppose this motion.
However, Apple's counsel has not responded to Elan's request to enter into a stipulation as
required by Civ. L.R. 7-11 allowing Elan to file its Reply in excess of the page limitations
imposed in Civ. L.R. 7-4.

For the foregoing reasons, Elan respectfully requests that the Court grant its Unopposed
Motion for Enlargement of Page Limit from fifteen (15) to seventeen (17) pages.

2

20DATED: June 16, 2011Respectfully submitted,21ALSTON & BIRD LLP

By: /s/ Sean P. DeBruine Sean P. DeBruine Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant ELAN MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION

LEGAL02/32693570v1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ELAN'S UNOPPOSED CIV L.R. 7-11 ADMIN. MOT. FOR ENLARGEMENT OF PAGE LIMIT

1	FILER'S ATTESTATION
2	Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X (B) regarding signatures, I, Sean P. DeBruine,
3	attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained.
4	<u>/s/ Sean P. DeBruine</u>
5	Sean P. DeBruine
6	LEGAL02/32693570v1
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	ELAN'S UNOPPOSED CIV L.R. 7-11 ADMIN. MOT. FOR 1 ENLARGEMENT OF PAGE LIMIT 1