

1 JARED BOBROW (Bar No. 133712)
 jared.bobrow@weil.com
 2 SONAL N. MEHTA (Bar No. 222086)
 sonal.mehta@weil.com
 3 DEREK C. WALTER (Bar. No. 246322)
 derek.walter@weil.com
 4 NATHAN GREENBLATT (Bar No. 262279)
 nathan.greenblatt@weil.com
 5 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
 Silicon Valley Office
 6 201 Redwood Shores Parkway
 Redwood Shores, CA 94065
 7 Telephone: (650) 802-3000
 Facsimile: (650) 802-3100
 8

9 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff
 Apple Inc.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 ELAN MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION,
 14
 15 Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant,
 16 v.
 17 APPLE INC.,
 18 Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff.
 19
 20
 21
 22

Case No. C-09-01531 RS (PSG)
[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF ELAN MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF THE SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF ROBERT DEZMELYK IN SUPPORT OF ELAN'S REPLY TO APPLE'S OPPOSITION TO ELAN'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF INFRINGEMENT OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 5,825,352 AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

1 The Court has received “Plaintiff Elan Microelectronics Corporation’s Administrative
2 Motion to File Under Seal Portions of the Supplemental Declaration of Robert Dezmelyk In
3 Support of Elan's Reply to Apple Inc.’s Opposition to Elan’s Motion for Partial Summary
4 Judgment of Infringement of United States Patent No. 5,825,352 and Supporting Documents”
5 (Dkt. No. 323) and the Greenblatt Declaration in support of the same. Good cause appearing,
6 Elan’s motion is hereby GRANTED.

7 1. The “Supplemental Declaration of Robert Dezmelyk in Support of Elan’s Reply to
8 Apple’s Opposition to Elan’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of Infringement of United
9 States Patent No. 5,825,352” (“Supplemental Dezmelyk Declaration”) may be filed under seal.

10 2. A redacted version of the Supplemental Dezmelyk Declaration may be filed in the
11 public docket containing the redactions of the version provided to counsel for Apple on July 5,
12 2011 at 7:19 p.m., and in addition redacting page 1, lines 20-21 (“the algorithm . . . or minima”);
13 page 1, lines 25-28 (“to set . . . valid minima.”); and page 2, lines 6-11 (“Apple considered . . . of
14 the touch sensor.”).

15 3. Exhibit A to the “Declaration of Palani P. Rathinasamy in Support of Plaintiff Elan
16 Microelectronics Corporation’s Reply to Apple Inc.’s Opposition to Elan’s Motion for Partial
17 Summary Judgment of Infringement” (Dkt. No. 322) may be filed under seal.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19
20
21 Dated: _____, 2011

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE