# **EXHIBIT** A

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MATTHEW D. POWERS (Bar No. 104795) Email: matthew.powers@weil.com JARED BOBROW (Bar No. 133712) Email: jared.bobrow@weil.com SONAL N. MEHTA (Bar No. 222086) Email: sonal.mehta@weil.com DEREK WALTER (Bar No. 246322) Email: derek.walter@weil.com STEFANI SMITH (Bar No. 251305) Email: stefani.smith@weil.com NATHAN GREENBLATT (Bar No. 262279) Email: nathan.greenblatt@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP Silicon Valley Office 201 Redwood Shores Parkway Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 802-3100 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff Apple Inc.	
12		
	UNITED STATES D	DISTRICT COURT
13	NORTHERN DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA
14		
15	SAN JOSE I	DIVISION
16	ELAN MICROELECTRONICS	Case No. C-09-01531 RS (PVT)
17	CORPORATION,	APPLE INC.'S OBJECTIONS AND
18	Plaintiff and Counterclaim	RESPONSES TO ELAN
	Defendant,	MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION'S THIRD SET OF
19	V.	REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND THINGS TO
20	APPLE INC.,	DEFENDANT APPLE INC. [NOS. 73-99]
21	Defendant and Counterclaim	Hon. Richard Seeborg
22	Plaintiff.	Demand for Jury Trial
23		
24	Pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of th	e Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant
25	and Counterclaim Plaintiff Apple Inc. ("Apple")	) hereby objects and responds to Plaintiff and
26	Counterclaim Defendant Elan Microelectronics Co	orporation's ("Elan") Third Set of Requests For
27	Production of Documents and Things To Defer	ndant Apple Inc. (Nos. 73-99) ("Requests for
28	Production"), as follows:	· · · · · ·
	APPLE'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO ELAN'S THIRD SET OF RPDS	Case No. C-09-01531 RS (PVT)

Subject to and without waiving its objections and following a reasonable search,
 Apple will produce relevant, non-privileged documents responsive to the above request to the
 extent such documents exists within its possession, custody, or control and have not already been
 produced.

5

## **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 82:**

All documents reflecting the number of units purchased, percentage purchased of
total Apps downloaded or otherwise obtained and usage rates of Apple Apps that require the use
of multiple fingers and/or the Multi-Touch technology.

9

#### **<u>RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 82</u>**:

In addition to its General Objections, Apple objects to this Request to the extent it
calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or any other
applicable privilege or immunity. Apple further objects to this Request as overbroad and unduly
burdensome. Apple objects to this Request to the extent it seeks publicly available documents or
information equally accessible to Elan. Apple objects that this Request is not reasonably
calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

16

28

#### **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 83**:

All documents and things relating to the costs, revenues, and profits, on monthly
and annual basis, since January 1, 2003, relating to Apps that require the use of multiple fingers
and/or the Multi-Touch technology.

20 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 83**:

In addition to its General Objections, Apple objects to this Request to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Apple further objects to this Request as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Apple objects to this Request to the extent it seeks publicly available documents or information equally accessible to Elan. Apple objects that this Request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

# 27 **<u>REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 84</u>**:

All documents relating to the marketing, post-sale and pre-sale market research,

analysis and study of Apple Apps that require the use of multiple fingers and/or the Multi-Touch
 technology.

3

### **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 84:**

In addition to its General Objections, Apple objects to this Request to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Apple further objects to this Request as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Apple objects to this Request to the extent it seeks publicly available documents or information equally accessible to Elan. Apple objects that this Request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

10

#### **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 85**:

All documents and things evidencing the market demand, including demand from
 individual consumers, corporate entities and software or program developers, for Apps for the
 Accused Products that require the use of multiple fingers and/or the Multi-Touch technology.

14

#### **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 85:**

In addition to its General Objections, Apple objects to this Request to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Apple further objects to this Request as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Apple objects to this Request to the extent it seeks publicly available documents or information equally accessible to Elan. Apple objects that this Request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

21

# **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 86:**

22

All Licenses entered into by Apple relating to the Accused Instrumentality and/or

23 the Multi-Touch technology.

# 24 **<u>RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 86</u>**:

In addition to its General Objections, Apple objects to this Request to the extent it
calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or any other
applicable privilege or immunity. Apple further objects to this Request as overbroad and unduly
burdensome. Apple objects to this Request as not limited in time.

1	extent such documents exist in its possession, custody, or control and have not already been
2	produced.
~	

3	<u>REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 99</u> :		
4	All U.S. Patents that you claim is practiced in the Accused Products, and if any,		
5	royalties or other amounts Apple has paid or is paying for the use of each patent.		
6	<b>RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 99:</b>		
7	In addition to its General Objections, Apple objects to this Request to the extent it		
8	calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or any other		
9	applicable privilege or immunity. Apple further objects to this Request as overbroad and unduly		
10	burdensome. Apple objects to this Request to the extent it seeks publicly available documents or		
11	information equally accessible to Elan. Apple objects to this Request as compound, vague and		
12	duplicative of Request No. 88 with respect to "and if any, royalties or other amounts Apple has		
13	paid or is paying for the use of each patent."		
14			
15	Dated: May 12, 2011 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP		
16			
17	By: /s/ Nathan Greenblatt		
18	Nathan Greenblatt		
19	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff Apple Inc.		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			