EXHIBIT B

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MATTHEW D. POWERS (Bar No. 104795) Email: matthew.powers@weil.com JARED BOBROW (Bar No. 133712) Email: jared.bobrow@weil.com SONAL N. MEHTA (Bar No. 222086) Email: sonal.mehta@weil.com DEREK WALTER (Bar No. 246322) Email: derek.walter@weil.com STEFANI SMITH (Bar No. 251305) Email: stefani.smith@weil.com NATHAN GREENBLATT (Bar No. 262279) Email: nathan.greenblatt@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP Silicon Valley Office 201 Redwood Shores Parkway Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 802-3000 Facsimile: (650) 802-3100		
12 13	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaim Plainti Apple Inc.	ff	
14 15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16			
17	SAN JOSE I	DIVISION	
18	ELAN MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION,	Case No. C-09-01531 RS	
19	Plaintiff and Counterclaim	APPLE INC.'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO ELAN	
20	Defendant,	MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION'S THIRD SET OF	
21	V.	INTERROGATORIES TO APPLE INC. [NOS.16-23]	
22	APPLE INC.,	Hon. Richard Seeborg	
23	Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff.	Demand for Jury Trial	
24	_		
25	Pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant		
26	and Counterclaim Plaintiff Apple Inc. ("Apple") hereby objects to Plaintiff and Counterclaim		
27	Defendant Elan Microelectronics Corporation's ("Elan") Third Set of Interrogatories to Apple		
28	Inc. [Nos. 16-23] ("Interrogatories"), as follows:		
	APPLE'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO ELAN'S THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES		

APEL0249521-0253953 (MacBook Air)		
APEL0261345-0293031 (MacBook)		
APEL0294884-0300036 (MacBook Pro)		
APEL0300037-0301934 (MacBook)		
APEL0301935-0329552 (MacBook Pro)		
APEL1269766-1270471 (iPad)		
	APEL1442393-1444569 (iPhone 4)	
APEL1444570-1444860 (Magic Trackpad)		
INTERROGATORY NO. 19:		
	State on a monthly basis from January 1, 2003 to the present, the total units of the	
Accuse	d Instrumentality (including but not limited to touch pads and touch screens of	
compor	nents thereof that is incorporated into or implemented by each of the Accused Produc	
Apple purchased, Apple's cost incurred for purchasing these units.		
RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 19 :		
	In addition to its General Objections, Apple objects to this Interrogatory to the	
extent i	t calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine of	
any other applicable privilege or immunity. Apple objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad and		
unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.		
Subject to and without waiving its objections and following a reasonable search,		
Apple will produce documents pursuant to Rule 33(d) from which the requested information can		
be ascertained.		
INTERROGATORY NO. 20:		
Identify all Apps and indicate those that require or include features that utilize the		
use of multiple fingers or the Multi-Touch technology.		
RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 20:		
	In addition to its General Objections, Apple objects to this Interrogatory to the	
extent i	t calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine of	
	RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO ELAN'S T OF INTERROGATORIES 7	

any other applicable privilege or immunity. Apple objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Apple further objects that the requested information is publicly available and equally accessible to Elan.

INTERROGATORY NO. 21:

6 7

8

9

1

2

3

4

5

State, on a monthly basis since January 1, 2003, the revenue and profit generated by Apple from each App identified in Interrogatory 17, including but not limited to sales, subscriptions, royalties, license revenues or any other form of compensation from consumers, developers, publishers or other third parties.

10

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 21:

In addition to its General Objections, Apple objects to this Interrogatory to the
extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or
any other applicable privilege or immunity. Apple objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad and
unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

15

INTERROGATORY NO. 22:

Identify all surveys, market or product projections, market or consumer research or
report, or group studies conducted or commissioned by or on behalf of, or otherwise in the
possession of, Apple that relate to, or include as part of the subject matter of the overall Accused
Products, the Accused Instrumentality, Multi-Touch technology or the functionalities of touch sensing input devices.

21

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 22:

In addition to its General Objections, Apple objects to this Interrogatory to the
extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or
any other applicable privilege or immunity. Apple objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad and
unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

27 28

26

1			
1	US7655937; US76563931; US7663601; US7663607; US7671837; US7673510; US7692638;		
2	US7715187; US7719522; US7728823; US7766517; US7800592; US7808479; US7812827;		
3	US7876310; US7916467; USD527659; USD527660; and USD532324. As another example, a		
4	search of issued patents in a public database has identified the following U.S. patents on which		
5	Wayne Westerman, Firmware Engineer 5, Human Interface Devices is named as an inventor and		
6	some which may be relevant to the accused functionalities: US6323846; US6570557;		
7	US6677932; US6888536; US7030861; US7339580; US7479949; US7619618; US7643010;		
8	US7643011; US7656394; US7705830; US7764274; US7777732; US7782307; US7812828;		
9	US7818393; US7840912; US7841512; US7855718; US7867403; US7876310; US7876311;		
10	US7877707; US7916126; US7920131; US7924271; US7932896; US7932897; USRE40153; and		
11	USRE40993. Apple has numerous other patents and patent applications, both in the United States		
12	and abroad, that relate to various innovations, including innovations relating to its product		
13	portfolio, including the accused Apple products.		
14			
15	Dated: May 12, 2011 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP		
16			
17	By: /s/ Nathan Greenblatt		
18	Nathan Greenblatt Attorneys for Defendant and		
19	Counterclaim Plaintiff Apple Inc.		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	APPLE'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO ELAN'S THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES 10		