EXHIBIT A

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MATTHEW D. POWERS (Bar No. 104795) Email: matthew.powers@weil.com JARED BOBROW (Bar No. 133712) Email: jared.bobrow@weil.com SONAL N. MEHTA (Bar No. 222086) Email: sonal.mehta@weil.com DEREK WALTER (Bar No. 246322) Email: derek.walter@weil.com STEFANI SMITH (Bar No. 251305) Email: stefani.smith@weil.com NATHAN GREENBLATT (Bar No. 262279) Email: nathan.greenblatt@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP Silicon Valley Office	
8 9 10	201 Redwood Shores Parkway Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 802-3000 Facsimile: (650) 802-3100	
11	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff Apple Inc.	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14		
15	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
16	ELAN MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION,	Case No. C-09-01531 RS (PVT)
17	Plaintiff and Counterclaim	APPLE INC.'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO ELAN
18	Defendant,	MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION'S THIRD SET OF
19	V.	REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND THINGS TO
20	APPLE INC.,	DEFENDANT APPLE INC. [NOS. 73-99]
21	Defendant and Counterclaim	Hon. Richard Seeborg
22	Plaintiff.	Demand for Jury Trial
23		
24	Pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant	
25	and Counterclaim Plaintiff Apple Inc. ("Apple") hereby objects and responds to Plaintiff and	
26	Counterclaim Defendant Elan Microelectronics Corporation's ("Elan") Third Set of Requests For	
27	Production of Documents and Things To Defendant Apple Inc. (Nos. 73-99) ("Requests for	
28	Production"), as follows:	
	APPLE'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO ELAN'S THIRD SET OF RPDS	Case No. C-09-01531 RS (PVT)

1 variances; 2 i. any claimed relevant allocation of overhead, the detail of costs included in such 3 overhead and the bases and methods for allocating such overhead to the Accused Products; 4 j. research and development costs for the Accused Instrumentality; 5 k. total gross and net revenues that is generated by the Accused Instrumentality 6 from sales in the United States, if the Accused Instrumentality is sold separately from the 7 Accused Products; and 8 l. the underlying documents reflecting or relating to the method of determining 9 revenue and costs in items (a) through (e). 10 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 78:** 11 In addition to its General Objections, Apple objects to this Request to the extent it 12 calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or any other 13 applicable privilege or immunity. Apple further objects to this Request as overbroad and unduly 14 burdensome. Apple objects to this Request to the extent it seeks publicly available documents or 15 information equally accessible to Elan. 16 Subject to and without waiving its objections and following a reasonable search, 17 Apple will produce non-privileged documents sufficient to show the following financial 18 information for the Accused Products on a product line basis, to the extent such documents exist 19 in its possession, custody, or control and are maintained in the ordinary course of business: 20 revenues, units sold, costs of goods sold, overhead, and research and development costs. 21 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 79:** 22 23 24

All documents relating to any internal analysis or study of anticipated and realized changes in product price, profits per units and sales volumes due to the incorporation of the Accused Instrumentality and/or the Multi-Touch technology into the Accused Products.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 79:

In addition to its General Objections, Apple objects to this Request to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Apple further objects to this Request as overbroad and unduly

25

26

27

28

burdensome.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Apple will produce non-privileged responsive documents in its possession, custody or control, if any exist and are located following a reasonable search.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 80:

All documents related to customer acceptance of the iPod Touch compared to the iPod without the Multi-Touch technology.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 80:

In addition to its General Objections, Apple objects to this Request to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Apple further objects to this Request as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Apple objects to this Request to the extent it seeks publicly available documents or information equally accessible to Elan. Apple objects that this request is duplicative of other requests for production including Request No. 54.

Subject to and without waiving its objections and following a reasonable search, Apple will produce relevant, non-privileged documents responsive to the above request to the extent such documents exists within its possession, custody, or control and have not already been produced.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 81:

All documents related customer acceptance of MacBooks with Multi-Touch technology compared to those without.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 81:

In addition to its General Objections, Apple objects to this Request to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Apple further objects to this Request as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Apple objects to this Request to the extent it seeks publicly available documents or information equally accessible to Elan. Apple objects that this request is duplicative of other requests for production including Request No. 54.

Subject to and without waiving its objections and following a reasonable search, Apple will produce relevant, non-privileged documents responsive to the above request to the extent such documents exists within its possession, custody, or control and have not already been produced.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 82:

All documents reflecting the number of units purchased, percentage purchased of total Apps downloaded or otherwise obtained and usage rates of Apple Apps that require the use of multiple fingers and/or the Multi-Touch technology.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 82:

In addition to its General Objections, Apple objects to this Request to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Apple further objects to this Request as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Apple objects to this Request to the extent it seeks publicly available documents or information equally accessible to Elan. Apple objects that this Request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 83:

All documents and things relating to the costs, revenues, and profits, on monthly and annual basis, since January 1, 2003, relating to Apps that require the use of multiple fingers and/or the Multi-Touch technology.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 83:

In addition to its General Objections, Apple objects to this Request to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Apple further objects to this Request as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Apple objects to this Request to the extent it seeks publicly available documents or information equally accessible to Elan. Apple objects that this Request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 84:

All documents relating to the marketing, post-sale and pre-sale market research,

1 extent such documents exist in its possession, custody, or control and have not already been 2 produced. 3 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 99:** 4 All U.S. Patents that you claim is practiced in the Accused Products, and if any, 5 royalties or other amounts Apple has paid or is paying for the use of each patent. 6 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 99:** 7 In addition to its General Objections, Apple objects to this Request to the extent it 8 calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or any other 9 applicable privilege or immunity. Apple further objects to this Request as overbroad and unduly 10 burdensome. Apple objects to this Request to the extent it seeks publicly available documents or 11 information equally accessible to Elan. Apple objects to this Request as compound, vague and 12 duplicative of Request No. 88 with respect to "and if any, royalties or other amounts Apple has 13 paid or is paying for the use of each patent." 14 15 Dated: May 12, 2011 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 16 17 /s/ Nathan Greenblatt Nathan Greenblatt 18 Attorneys for Defendant and 19 Counterclaim Plaintiff Apple Inc. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28