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Apple and with me is Derek Walter.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: If there are no
stipulations, the court reporter may swear in the
witness.
--oOo--
6 GREG MARRIOTT,
having been first duly sworn by the
Certified Shorthand Reporter to tell
the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
but the truth, testified as follows:
EXAMINATION BY MR. DeBRUINE:
Q. Good morning, Mr. Marriott.

Can you please state your full name for the record?
A. Gregory Barton Marriott.
Q. What is your current address?
A. I live at 58 Lawai Street, Honolulu, Hawaii.
Q. Mr. Marriott, are you currently employed?
A. No.
Q. Can you -- let's stop for a second and let me go over the basics of depositions.

Have you ever had your deposition taken before?
24 A. I have.
25 Q. How many times?

1 A. This was at General Magic.
2 Q. Okay. Since you've had your deposition taken before, I'll just go over sort of the procedure here briefly.

You understand that you're under oath here to tell the truth just as if you were in court?
A. Yes.
Q. You'll notice, of course, there's no judge here. From time to time, your -- the other lawyers here may object to how I ask a question. I may rephrase the question based on that.

If not, you're free to answer the question and the objection will be noted and ruled on by the Court later?

Do you understand that?
A. Ido.
Q. Okay. As I think you probably are also aware, the court reporter will prepare a written transcript of everything that's said here so it's important that your answers be verbal.

Nods, shakes of the head, uh-huhs and huh-uhs are very hard to transcribe on the record. A. I understand.
Q. Once we're done, you'll be given an
opportunity to review the transcript and make changes

1 A. Three times.
2 Q. And in what matters did you have your
deposition taken?
A. One was a previous lawsuit involving one of
my other patents at Apple, that was a couple years ago.

And the two other times were at previous employers.
Q. Okay. And who were the parties to the
lawsuit involving your other patent at Apple?
A. I don't remember.
Q. You don't remember who the other party was?
A. No. I -- give me -- maybe Burst.
Q. And how many patents do you have?
A. I couldn't tell you. It's about a dozen or
so.
Q. Okay.
A. They're all assigned to Apple.
Q. Okay. The -- what were the other two
lawsuits where you were deposed?
A. I don't even recall.

They were some contractual matters with like hardware contractors.
24 Q. Okay. And what companies were you working 25 for?
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to it.
Do you understand, though, if you do make changes, I or others in connection with the case will be able to comment on your changes?
A. Okay.
Q. Are you represented by counsel here today?
A. No.
Q. Okay.

MS. MEHTA: Actually, we should talk about that. I think I am here representing Mr. Marriott. If you want us to talk about that offline, we can do that. We previously told you we're representing Mr. Marriott.

MR. DeBRUINE: I understand that. Doesn't seem to be the witness' understanding.

MS. MEHTA: He may be confused. We can talk about it offline.
MR. DeBRUINE: All right.
BY MR. DeBRUINE:
Q. Mr. Marriott, did you do anything to prepare
for the deposition today?
A. Yes.

3 Q. What did you do?
4 A. I reviewed the patent that we're going to
25 talk about. I had some conversations with Apple's

| BY MR. DeBRUINE: <br> Q. Okay. But at some point, you submitted something in writing to the attorneys that described what you believed to be the invention; is that correct? <br> MS. MEHTA: I'm going to caution you not to reveal any communications you had with the attorneys. <br> You can -- assuming you'll agree it's not a waiver, I can let him answer yes or no. <br> MR. DeBRUINE: I'll agree it's not a waiver. <br> THE WITNESS: Yes. <br> BY MR. DeBRUINE: <br> Q. Okay. <br> A. But in this particular case, I don't know if it was me who did that. <br> Q. Okay. So you just assumed that had to have happened? <br> A. Well, it's here, so somebody communicated something. I just don't know if it was necessarily me who did it in this case or somebody else. <br> Q. Okay. And I may have asked you this already, but did you yourself make any effort to provide any prior art as part of the application process? <br> MS. MEHTA: Objection, asked and answered. | (Exhibit No. 5 was marked.) <br> BY MR. DeBRUINE: <br> Q. Mr. Marriott, you've been handed what's been marked as Exhibit 5, it's a document that bears production number APEL 0339928 through 9932. It appears to be a forwarded e-mail from Jim Dumont and you're listed as one of the recipients; is that correct? <br> A. That's correct. <br> Q. And this appears to be -- well, the subject of the forwarded e-mail is ASU posting request. <br> Do you know what that means? <br> A. Yes. ASU is Apple software update. <br> Q. And what's an Apple software update? <br> A. That's the process by which software can be pushed out to customers. <br> So on their Mac, there will be a menu item called software update and it will go check to see if there's any updates available for any software they have on their machine. <br> So a posting request for ASU is a request to make software available via that mechanism to customers. <br> Q. And this particular request has to do with <br> 25 updated iPod software, correct? |
| :---: | :---: |
| THE WITNESS: Yeah, I did not. <br> BY MR. DeBRUINE: <br> Q. Okay. Did you provide, for example, any documents describing how the previous touch wheel product operated? <br> MS. MEHTA: Objection, vague, foundation. <br> THE WITNESS: I don't believe I did. I <br> don't remember. <br> BY MR. DeBRUINE: <br> Q. At any time when you were in the iPod group, did you discuss or experiment with allowing more than one finger to contact the touch wheel? <br> A. I don't recall having any conversations like that. <br> Q. Do you recall any conversations about that with regard to the iPod touch product? <br> A. No. Our team wasn't involved in developing that product. <br> Q. What team was involved in developing the iPod touch? <br> MS. MEHTA: Objection, foundation. <br> THE WITNESS: I don't know. It just wasn't <br> ours. It shipped with the name iPod, but it's a whole different product family, so ... <br> MR. DeBRUINE: 5. | A. Yeah, the name on the request is iPod software 3.0.1 updater. <br> Q. Okay. Was the iPod software 3.0.1 released in connection with any particular product or group of products, iPod products? <br> A. I'm sure it was, but I couldn't tell you which ones. <br> Q. Okay. On the third page, 9930, there's a discussion in the first two paragraphs of the iPod 3.0 software and below that iPod software 2.2 and 1.1. <br> Do you see that? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. Does that refresh your recollection as to what version the iPod 3.0 software was? <br> A. No, but if I read through this whole thing, I might be able to figure it out. <br> Q. Okay. The second note says "iPod software 2.2 and iPod software 1.1 should not be used with iPod with chick wheel"? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. Does that indicate to you those versions <br> 23 came before the click wheel? <br> A. Yes. <br> 25 MS. MEHTA: Objection, foundation. |

```
26,2011 deposition of GREG MARRIOTT was
adjourned.)
GREG MARRIOTT
```
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I, LOUISE MARIE SOUSOURES, duly authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Section 2093(b) of the California Code of Civil Procedure, do hereby certify: That the witness in the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to testify the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place therein cited; that the testimony of the said witness was reported by me and was hereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting; that the foregoing is a complete and accurate record of said testimony; and that the witness was given an opportunity to read and correct said deposition and to subscribe the same.

Should the signature of the witness not be affixed to the deposition, the witness shall not have availed himself or herself of the opportunity to sign or the signature has been waived.

I further certify that I am not of counsel, nor attorney for any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

DATE: 8-4-2011
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