

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9 SAN JOSE DIVISION

10 Somtai Troy Charoensak & Mariana Rosen,

NO. C 05-00037 JW

11 Plaintiffs,

**ORDER FOLLOWING FURTHER CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**

12 v.

13 Apple Computer, Inc.,

14 Defendant.
_____ /

15 On November 20, 2006, the Court conducted a Further Case Management Conference. Roy
16 Katriel of the Katriel Law Firm appeared on behalf of the Plaintiffs, and Robert Mittelstaedt of Jones
17 Day appeared on behalf of the Defendant. The Court hereby orders as follows:

18 **Class Certification.** Plaintiffs shall file and serve their motion for class certification by
19 January 22, 2007. Defendant shall file and serve its opposition by March 12, 2007. Plaintiffs shall
20 file and serve their reply by April 2, 2007. The Court will conduct a hearing on Plaintiffs' motion
21 for class certification on **April 16, 2007 at 9 AM.**


22 **Further Case Management Conference.** The Court will conduct a Further Case
23 Management Conference on **March 19, 2007 at 10 AM**, for the purpose of discussing what
24 additional discovery, if any, should take place, prior to Plaintiffs filing their reply in support of their
25 motion for class certification.

26 **Discovery.** The parties shall limit their discovery to class certification issues. This
27 limitation shall be in effect until Defendant files its anticipated motion for summary judgment. If
28

1 Defendant files such motion, Plaintiffs may seek additional discovery. The parties are referred to
2 Magistrate Trumbull for resolution of any discovery disputes.

3 It is further ordered that discovery in this case shall be coordinated with discovery in Tucker
4 v. Apple Computer, Inc., C 06-4457 JW. The parties shall notice depositions in both cases. Any
5 depositions noticed in Tucker shall not count towards the deposition limit set by the Court for this
6 action, and any depositions noticed in this action shall not count towards the deposition limit set by
7 the Court in Tucker. Discovery produced in this action shall be made available and be usable to the
8 Plaintiff's counsel in Tucker to the same extent as if it had been produced in that action, and
9 discovery produced in Tucker shall be made available and usable to the Plaintiff's counsel in this
10 action to the same extent as if it had been produced in this action.

11
12 Dated: November 21, 2006



JAMES WARE
United States District Judge

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

1 **THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:**

2 Adam Richard Sand arsand@JonesDay.com
3 Brian P Murray bmurray@rabinlaw.com
4 Caroline N. Mitchell cnmitchell@jonesday.com
5 Jacqueline Sailer jsailer@murrayfrank.com
6 John J. Stoia jstoia@lerachlaw.com
Michael David Braun service@braunlawgroup.com
7 Robert A. Mittelstaedt ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com
Roy A. Katriel rak@katriellaw.com
8 Tracy Strong tstrong@jonesday.com

9 **Dated: November 21, 2006**

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

10 **By: /s/ JW Chambers**

Elizabeth Garcia
Courtroom Deputy

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28