1 2 *E-FILED 12-23-2009* 3 4 5 6 7 NOT FOR CITATION 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION 11 PIOTR J. GARDIAS, No. C09-02090 HRL 12 Plaintiff, 13 ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL 14 THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN JOSE STATE UNIVERSITY, 15 [Re: Docket No. 40] 16 Defendant. 17 18 On December 21, 2009, plaintiff filed a "Motion to Compel" and purported to notice the 19 matter for a January 26, 2010 hearing. Gardias seeks an order compelling defendant to answer 20 questions he sent to defense counsel by email on December 14, 2009. In that email, plaintiff 21 demanded that defendant's responses be served within three days. Plaintiff's inquiries were not 22 properly served discovery requests. Moreover, fact discovery closed on December 4, 2009. 23 Thus, even if this court were to excuse the manner in which plaintiff served his requests, the 24 requests are untimely — as is the instant motion to compel. See Civ. L.R. 26-2; see also

September 29, 2009 Case Management Order (Docket No. 29). Accordingly, the motion is

SO ORDERED.

denied.

25

26

27

28

Dated: December 23, 2009



United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	5:09-cv-02090-HRL Notice has been electronically mailed to:
2	Mary Susan Cain-Simon: Mary.CainSimon@doj.ca.gov, ECFCoordinator@doj.ca.gov, Leticia.MartinezCarter@doj.ca.gov
3	Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel who have not
4	Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel who have not registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.
5	5:09-cv-02090-HRL Notice mailed to:
6	Piotr J. Gardias 72 Floyd St.
7	72 Floyd St. San Jose, CA 95110
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	