

1 ****E-filed 02/11/2010****

2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9 SAN JOSE DIVISION

10
11 INTERSIL CORPORATION, et al.,

No. C 09-02386 RS

12 Plaintiffs,

ORDER RE STAY MOTIONS

13 v.

14
15 TECHNOLOGY LICENSING
CORPORATION, et al.,

16 Defendants.
17 _____/

18
19 Plaintiffs seek to stay this entire case pending resolution of the action pending in Santa Clara
20 Superior Court between them and defendants. Third party defendant Ross Video joins in that motion
21 and further seeks a stay of the claims against it until the claims between plaintiffs and defendants are
22 resolved. As discussed at the hearing, granting a formal stay in either instance does not appear to be
23 warranted at this juncture, but efficient use of Court and party resources does call for appropriate
24 consideration of some of the issues raised in the motions with respect to scheduling how this case is
25 to proceed. Accordingly, the motions will be denied without prejudice, and the parties are directed
26 to meet and confer to attempt to reach agreements on phasing discovery and/or other proceedings in
27 this action, as well as coordinating discovery with that occurring in the state court action. The
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

parties shall present their proposals in conjunction with the upcoming Case Management Conference.

Dated: 02/11/2010



RICHARD SEEBORG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE