

1 KERR & WAGSTAFFE LLP
 James M. Wagstaffe (Bar No. 95535)
 2 wagstaffe@kerrwagstaffe.com
 Michael Ng (Bar No. 237915)
 3 mng@kerrwagstaffe.com
 Cherokee D.M. Melton (Bar No. 243265)
 4 melton@kerrwagstaffe.com
 100 Spear Street, 18th Floor
 5 San Francisco, CA 94105
 Telephone: (415) 371-8500
 6 Facsimile: (415) 371-0500

E-Filed 4/8/2010

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 ZL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
 8

9 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
 Robert P. Feldman (Bar No. 69602)
 10 bobfeldman@quinnemanuel.com
 Justin B. Barnard (Bar No. 21660)
 11 justinbarnard@quinnemanuel.com
 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Fifth Floor
 12 Redwood Shores, California 94065
 Telephone: (650) 801-5000
 13 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

14 Attorneys for Defendants
 GARTNER, INC. and CAROLYN DICENZO
 15

16
 17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 19 SAN JOSE DIVISION

20 ZL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
 21 Plaintiff,
 22 vs.
 23 GARTNER, INC., and
 CAROLYN DICENZO
 24 Defendants.
 25

CASE NO. 5:09-cv-02393-JF
**JOINT STIPULATION AND
 {PROPOSED} ORDER TO
 CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT
 CONFERENCE**

1 Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiff ZL Technologies, Inc. (“ZL”) and Defendants
2 Gartner, Inc. and Carolyn Dicenso (collectively, “Gartner”), by and through their respective
3 counsel of record, hereby stipulate to continue the Case Management Conference scheduled for
4 April 9, 2010.

5 1. Reason for the Request

6 On February 12, 2010, the Court heard Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First
7 Amended Complaint. The Court has not yet ruled on Defendant's Motion. The parties agree that a
8 Case Management Conference is unlikely to be productive until Defendant's Motion has been
9 decided and therefore seek to continue the Conference for a sufficient amount of time to allow the
10 Court to rule on the Motion.

11 2. Prior Time Modifications

12 There have been a number of prior time modifications. The Case Management Conference
13 has been continued five times, on July 21, 2009, October 19, 2009, December 3, 2009, December
14 16, 2009, and March 4, 2010. Additionally, upon joint stipulations from the parties, the Court (1) on
15 September 1, 2009 continued the hearing date for Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and (2) on
16 December 16, 2009 continued the deadline for Defendants' response to the Amended Complaint and
17 the hearing date for Defendants' Motion to Stay Discovery (later withdrawn).

18 3. Effect of Requested Modification

19 The requested modification will have no effect on the rest of the schedule in this action.

20 So Stipulated.

21 NOW, THEREFORE, the parties to this action, through their respective counsel of record,
22 AGREE AND HEREBY STIPULATE, if agreeable to the Court, to continue the Case
23 Management Conference for one and a half months, until May 21, 2010 at 10:30 a.m.

